Stephen Tapp Architect/P.E.

2330 East Madison Street Seattle, Washington 98112 (206) 320-0534 (206) 459-5151

P.O. Box 1413 Puunene, Maiu, Hawaii

Structural Calculations

for

Petrie Pool Terrace 2431 60th Avenue SE

2431 60th Avenue SE Mercer Island, Washington 98040

Date: March 2021 Project: T21C4 Building Code Reference: 2015 IBC





NELSON GEOTECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC. 17311-135th Ave. N.E. Suite A-500 Woodinville, WA 98072 (425) 486-1669 www.nelsongeotech.com

March 10, 2020

Mr. Gregg Petrie 601 Dexter Avenue North Seattle, Washington 98109 VIA E-mail: gpetrie@copiersnw.com

> Geotechnical Engineering Letter Petrie Residence Additions and Liquefaction Assessment 2431 – 60th Avenue SE Mercer Island, Washington NGA File No. 1159920

Dear Mr. Petrie:

This letter presents the results of our geotechnical engineering evaluation of the proposed Petrie Residence Additions project on Mercer Island, Washington.

INTRODUCTION

The project site is located at 2431 – 60th Avenue SE on Mercer Island, Washington, as shown on the Vicinity Map in Figure 1. The purpose of this study is to explore and characterize the site's surface and subsurface conditions and to provide geotechnical recommendations for the proposed site development. Our services were generally completed in accordance with the proposal signed by you on February 5, 2020.

The site is currently occupied by an existing single-family residence within the eastern portion of the approximately 0.43-acre, rectangular-shaped property. The property gently slopes westward toward the shoreline along Lake Washington. The proposed development plan consists of adding additions to the existing single-family residence and constructing a new detached garage, along with a 30-foot by 16-foot in-ground pool on the downslope side of the residence. We understand the pool will be between 4 and 6 feet in depth, maximum. The property is located within several critical areas as mapped by the City of Mercer Island, including landslide hazards, erosion hazards, and seismic hazards. We were retained to explore the subsurface soil conditions throughout the site, and provide a geotechnical assessment on the potential for liquefaction to affect the proposed development. The existing site layout is shown on the Site Plan in Figure 2.

For our use in preparing this letter, we have been provided with a topographic map of the property titled "Petrie Property," dated November 20, 2019 and produced by CORE Design. We have also been provided with a preliminary site plan and plan set dated January 22, 2020 and produced by Anderson Architecture.

SCOPE

The purpose of this study is to explore and characterize the site surface and subsurface conditions, and provide general recommendations for site development. Specifically, our scope of services included the following:

- 1. Reviewing available soil and geologic maps of the area.
- 2. Exploring the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions within the vicinity of the proposed development with hand auger explorations.
- 3. Mapping the conditions on the slopes, performing shallow hand-tool excavations, crosssections, and evaluating current slope stability conditions within the vicinity of the site.
- 4. Performing grain-size sieve analysis on soil samples, as necessary.
- 5. Providing recommendations for foundation support and embedment, as needed.
- 6. Providing recommendations for earthwork.
- 7. Providing recommendations for temporary and permanent slopes.
- 8. Providing recommendations for temporary shoring, as needed.
- 9. Providing recommendations for retaining walls.
- 10. Providing recommendations for slab and pavement subgrade preparation.
- 11. Providing recommendations for utility installation.
- 12. Providing recommendations for site drainage and erosion control.
- 13. Documenting the results of our findings, conclusions, and recommendations in a written geotechnical letter.

SITE CONDITIONS

Surface Conditions

The subject site consists of a rectangular-shaped parcel approximately 0.43 acres in area. The property is bordered to the east by 60th Avenue SE, to the north and south by existing residential development, and to the west by shoreline along Lake Washington. The site is currently occupied by a 1,490 square foot residence in the central portion of the site, and a 440 square foot attached garage to the east. Most of the eastern portion of the property is paved, and surface modifications elsewhere on the property include two short retaining walls in the central- and western portion of the property, and a rockery along Lake Washington forming the westernmost property line. In general, the site slopes gently to the west, as shown on Cross Section A-A' in Figure 3.

The site is vegetated with grass areas and landscaping plants throughout the property, but also includes sparse deciduous landscaping trees. A network of buried irrigation lines are located below the backyard areas. Besides Lake Washington, we did not encounter surface water during our visit to the site on February 19, 2020.

Subsurface Conditions

Geology: The <u>Geologic Map of Mercer Island, Washington</u>, by Kathy G. Troost, Wisher, A.P., et al. (USGS, 2006) was reviewed for this site. The majority of the site is mapped as fine-grained deposits of pre-Olympia age (Qpof), with lacustrine deposits (Ql) associated with the lowering of Lake Washington in 1916 mapped in the lower portions of the site near the shoreline. There are nearby areas mapped as pre-Olympia non-glacial deposits (Qpon). The mapped fine-grained deposits are described as hard silt and clay with sandy interbeds. The lake deposits are described as silt and clay with local sand layers in a very loose to medium dense condition. The nearby non-glacial deposits are described as sand, silt, clay, and organic deposits in a discontinuous layer.

In general, our explorations generally encountered fine sandy silt with clay in upper, eastern areas of the site, silty fine to medium sand with varying amounts of gravel in central areas, and clean sand immediately adjacent to Lake Washington in the lower, western portion of the site. Generally consistent with their mapped descriptions, we have interpreted these soils to be Qpof, Qpon, and QI, respectively.

Explorations: The subsurface conditions within the site were explored on February 19, 2020 by completing seven shallow hand-auger boreholes throughout the property. Explorations were completed to depths ranging from 2.0 to 5.6 feet below the existing ground surface. The approximate locations of our explorations are shown on the Site Plan in Figure 2. A geologist from NGA was present during explorations, examined soils and geologic conditions encountered, obtained samples of different soil types, and maintained exploration logs.

The soils were visually classified in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System, presented in Figure 4. Logs of our hand auger explorations are attached to this report and are presented as Figure 5. We present a summary of the subsurface conditions below. For a detailed description of the subsurface conditions, exploration logs should be reviewed.

Explorations can be grouped into three categories based on location within the site. In upper, eastern portions of the site, Hand Augers 1 and 2 exposed a surficial mantle of 1.8 to 3.0 feet of undocumented fill containing brick fragments and debris. Underlying materials consisted of oxidized, light gray fine sandy silt becoming clayey with depth, and silty fine to medium sand in a medium dense or better condition. **NELSON GEOTECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.**

We interpreted these soils to be consistent with the mapped fine-grained deposits, Qpof. Hand Augers 1 and 2 terminated within these native soils at depths of 5.0 feet.

Central portions of the site, including backyard areas exposed undocumented fill associated with retaining wall construction, and up to 2.8 feet of undocumented fill upslope from the retaining wall. In Hand Augers 3 and 7, the fill is underlain by gray-brown to light gray silty fine to coarse sand with varying amounts of gravel in a medium dense or better condition. Just below the retaining wall, Hand Auger 6 exposed dense silty fine to medium sand with gravel at a depth of 0.6 feet below surficial fill. We interpreted these soils to be consistent with the non-glacial deposits (Qpon) mapped nearby. Hand Augers 3, 4, 6, and 7 were terminated within these soils at depths between and 2.0 and 5.6 feet below the existing ground surface.

Hand Auger 5 encountered clean sand beneath a surficial 0.8-foot layer of topsoil fill, coarsening downward with depth. Hand Auger 5 terminated within the lacustrine soils at a depth of 4.0 feet below the existing grade.

Hydrogeologic Conditions

Moderate groundwater seepage was observed in Hand Auger 3 at a depth of 4.2 feet below the surface, and saturated soils were encountered in Hand Auger 5 near the termination depth of 4.0 feet. We would interpret seepage in Hand Auger 3 to be perched water, and seepage in Hand Auger 5 to be associated with the groundwater table corresponding to Lake Washington. Perched water occurs when surface water infiltrates through less dense, more permeable soils and accumulates on top of a relatively low permeability material, such as the dense deposits encountered below the retaining wall. Perched water does not represent a regional groundwater "table" within the upper soil horizons. Perched water tends to vary spatially and is dependent upon the amount of rainfall. We would expect the amount of perched groundwater to decrease during drier times of the year and increase during wetter periods.

SENSITIVE AREA EVALUATION

Seismic Hazard

We reviewed the 2018 International Building Code (IBC) for seismic site classification for this project. Since very dense or better soils are interpreted to underlie the site at depth, the site best fits the IBC description for Site Class D.

Table 1 below provides seismic design parameters for the site that are in conformance with the 2018 IBC, which specifies a design earthquake having a two percent probability of occurrence in 50 years (return interval of 2,475 years), and the 2008 USGS seismic hazard maps.

Site Class	Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec. (g) S _s	Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec. (g) S ₁	Site Coe	Site Coefficients		n Spectral sponse ameters	
			Fa	Fv	S _{DS}	S _{D1}	
D	1.378	0.531	1.000	1.500	0.919	0.531	

Table 1 – 2018 IBC Seismic Design Parameters

The spectral response accelerations were obtained from the USGS Earthquake Hazards Program Interpolated Probabilistic Ground Motion website (2008 data) for the project latitude and longitude.

Fault Rupture: The site is contained within the Seattle Fault Zone (SFZ): an active, shallow region of seismicity within central Puget Sound. The latest recorded rupture within the SFZ has been dated to approximately 1,100 years before the present. The nearest fault strand in the zone is located approximately 0.8 miles to the south of the site. The SFZ can produce a M6—7.5 earthquake on a recurrence interval of several hundred years. In our opinion, the risk of a surface fault rupture within this specific site is low, given available data.

Liquefaction: Hazards associated with seismic activity include liquefaction potential and amplification of ground motion. Liquefaction is caused by a rise in pore pressures in a loose, fine sand deposit beneath the groundwater table. We did not encounter loose, fine sand beneath proposed additions. It is our opinion that the medium dense or better deposits interpreted to underlie the development areas of the site have a low potential for liquefaction or amplification of ground motion. However, a moderate liquefaction hazard may be present in low areas of the property adjacent to Lake Washington, especially within approximately 60 feet from the shoreline. The proposed development is not located within the potentially liquefiable soils near the shoreline, but rather will be supported on the medium dense or better native deposits that have a low risk for liquefaction.

Seiches: Seiches are lake waves caused by seismic offset or attenuation during an earthquake, or by severe atmospheric disturbances. Due to the presence of shoreline along Lake Washington on this site, there is a risk of damage to infrastructure and docks in close proximity to potential wave action. Lake Washington has experienced seiche activity after the 2001 Nisqually Earthquake, after the Alaskan earthquake in 1964, and during severe weather in 1993, closing the I-90 floating bridge. It is our opinion that the proposed development is located sufficiently distal from the shoreline to avoid direct impacts from potential seiche activity.

Erosion Hazard

The criteria used for determination of the erosion hazard for affected areas include soil type, slope gradient, vegetation cover, and groundwater conditions. The erosion sensitivity is related to vegetative cover and the specific surface soil types, which are related to the underlying geologic soil units. The <u>Soil</u> <u>Survey of King County Area, Washington</u>, by the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), classifies the development portions of the site as Kitsap silt loam, 2 to 8 percent slopes. The erosion hazard listed for the exposed soils on the property is slight. It is our opinion that the erosion hazard for the site soils should be low in areas where vegetation is not disturbed.

Landslide Hazard

Portions of the site are mapped as a Potential Slide Area by the City of Mercer Island. The City defines Landslide Hazard Areas as those containing (1) historic failures, (2) slopes greater than 15 percent with permeable sediment overlying impermeable materials *and* containing groundwater seepage, (3) areas showing evidence of past movement or underlain by mass wastage, (4) susceptible to stream erosion, or (5) slopes greater than 40 percent, as set forth in MICC 19.16.010. The steepest slopes within the site were measured to have gradients up to 13 degrees (23 percent grade), but no groundwater seepage emanates from site slopes. The shallow soils underlying the site appear to be medium dense deposits of pre-Olympia age. None of the other criterion were encountered within the site or immediate vicinity during our explorations and field measurements. Based on this, we do not consider the site slopes as landslide hazard areas.

The core of the slopes consists primarily of glacially consolidated soils. Relatively shallow sloughing failures as well as surficial erosion are natural processes and should be expected on unprotected slopes during extreme environmental conditions. This is especially true within the loose surficial and undocumented fill soils on the slopes. Proper retaining wall construction, site grading and drainage, as well as foundation placement as recommended in the following geotechnical documentation should help maintain and enhance current stability conditions.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

General

It is our opinion, from a geotechnical standpoint, that the proposed site additions and in-ground pool development is feasible. Our explorations indicated that the site was underlain by a surficial layer of undocumented fill, with an underlying layer of medium dense or better native soils at depth. The native soils should provide adequate support for foundation, slab, and pavement loads. We recommend that the new structures be designed utilizing shallow foundations. Footings should extend through any loose soil, and be founded on the underlying medium dense or better native bearing soil, or structural fill extending to these soils. The competent soil should typically be encountered approximately three to five feet below the existing surface throughout the site, based on our explorations. Deeper, localized areas of undocumented fill may also exist in unexplored areas of the site. This condition, if encountered, would require deeper excavations in foundation, slab, and pavement areas to remove the unsuitable soils.

The soils encountered on this site are considered moisture-sensitive and may disturb easily when wet. We recommend that construction take place during the drier summer months, if possible. If construction is to take place during wet weather, the soils may disturb and additional expenses and delays may be expected due to the wet conditions. Additional expenses could include the need for placing a blanket of rock spalls to protect exposed subgrades and construction traffic areas, and erecting silt fences and straw bales to prevent muddy water from leaving the site.

Erosion Control

The erosion hazard for the on-site soils is listed as slight for exposed soils, but actual erosion potential will be dependent on how the site is graded and how water is allowed to concentrate. Best Management Practices (BMPs) should be used to control erosion. Areas disturbed during construction should be protected from erosion. Erosion control measures may include diverting surface water away from the stripped or disturbed areas. Silt fences and/or straw bales should be erected to prevent muddy water from leaving the site. Disturbed areas should be planted as soon as practical and the vegetation should be maintained until it is established. Erosion potential of areas not stripped of vegetation should be low.

Site Preparation and Grading

After erosion control measures are implemented, site preparation should consist of removing loose soils, topsoil, and any undocumented fill from foundations, slab, and pavement areas, to expose medium or better native bearing soils at depth. The stripped soil should be removed from the site or stockpiled for later use as a landscaping fill. Based on our observations, we anticipate native, medium dense or better soil to be encountered at approximately three to five feet throughout explored areas of the site. We should note that additional deeper areas of unsuitable soils and/or undocumented fill could be encountered in unexplored areas of the site, particularly on the westernmost portion of the subject site and in the existing volunteer garden area. This condition, if encountered, would require deeper excavations in foundation, slab, and pavement areas to remove the unsuitable soils.

After site preparation, if the exposed subgrade is deemed loose, it should be compacted to a non-yielding condition and then proof-rolled with a heavy, rubber-tired piece of equipment. Areas observed to pump or weave during the proof-roll test should be reworked to structural fill specifications or over-excavated and replaced with properly compacted structural fill or rock spalls. If loose soils are encountered in the foundation areas, the loose soils should be removed and replaced with rock spalls. If significant surface water flow is encountered during construction, this flow should be diverted around work areas, and exposed subgrades should be maintained in a semi-dry condition.

If wet conditions are encountered, alternative site grading techniques might be necessary. These could include using large excavators equipped with wide tracks and a smooth bucket to complete site grading, and covering exposed subgrade with a layer of crushed rock for protection. If wet conditions are encountered or construction is attempted in wet weather, the subgrade should not be compacted, as this could cause further subgrade disturbance. In wet conditions, it may be necessary to cover the exposed subgrade with a layer of crushed rock as soon as it is exposed to protect the moisture sensitive soils from disturbance by machine or foot traffic during construction. The prepared subgrade should be protected from construction traffic and surface water should be diverted around areas of prepared subgrade.

Temporary and Permanent Slopes

Temporary cut slope stability is a function of many factors, including the type and consistency of soils, depth of the cut, surcharge loads adjacent to the excavation, length of time a cut remains open, and the presence of surface or groundwater. It is exceedingly difficult under these variable conditions to estimate a stable, temporary, cut slope angle. Therefore, it should be the responsibility of the contractor to maintain safe slope configurations at all times as indicated in OSHA guidelines for cut slopes.

The following information is provided solely for the benefit of the owner and other design consultants and should not be construed to imply that Nelson Geotechnical Associates, Inc. assumes responsibility for job site safety. Job site safety is the sole responsibility of the project contractor.

For planning purposes, we recommend that temporary cuts be no steeper than 2H:1V. If significant groundwater seepage or surface water flow were encountered, we would expect that flatter inclinations would be necessary. We recommend that cut slopes be protected from erosion. The slope protection measures may include covering cut slopes with plastic sheeting and diverting surface runoff away from the top of cut slopes. We do not recommend vertical slopes for cuts deeper than four feet, if worker access is necessary. We recommend that cut slope heights and inclinations conform to appropriate OSHA/WISHA regulations.

Permanent cut and fill slopes should be no steeper than 2H:1V. However, flatter inclinations may be required in areas where loose soils are encountered. Permanent slopes should be vegetated and the vegetative cover maintained until established.

Foundations

Conventional shallow spread foundations should be placed on medium or better native bearing soils, or be supported on structural fill or rock spalls extending to those soils. Medium dense soils should be encountered approximately three to five feet below ground surface within the proposed residence footprint areas, based on our explorations. Additional areas of unsuitable soils and/or undocumented fill could be encountered in unexplored areas of the site. Where undocumented fill or less dense soils are encountered at footing bearing elevation, the subgrade should be over-excavated to expose suitable bearing soil. The over-excavation may be filled with structural fill, or the footings may be extended down to the competent, native, bearing soils. If footings are supported on structural fill, the fill zone should extend outside the edges of the footing a distance equal to half of the depth of the over-excavation below the bottom of footing.

Footings should extend at least 18 inches below the lowest adjacent finished ground surface for frost protection and bearing capacity considerations. Foundations should be designed in accordance with the 2018 IBC. Footing widths should be based on the anticipated loads and allowable soil bearing pressure. Water should not be allowed to accumulate in footing trenches. All loose or disturbed soil should be removed from the foundation excavation prior to placing concrete.

For foundations constructed as outlined above, we recommend an allowable bearing pressure of not more than 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf) be used for the design of footings founded on the medium dense or better native bearing soils or rock spalls extending to the competent native material. The foundation bearing soil should be evaluated by a representative of NGA. We should be consulted if higher bearing pressures are needed. Current IBC guidelines should be used when considering increased allowable bearing pressure for short-term transitory wind or seismic loads. Potential foundation settlement using the recommended allowable bearing pressure is estimated to be less than 1-inch total and ½-inch differential between adjacent footings or across a distance of about 20 feet, based on our experience with similar projects.

Lateral loads may be resisted by friction on the base of the footing and passive resistance against the subsurface portions of the foundation. A coefficient of friction of 0.35 may be used to calculate the base friction and should be applied to the vertical dead load only. Passive resistance may be calculated as a triangular equivalent fluid pressure distribution. An equivalent fluid density of 200 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) should be used for passive resistance design for a level ground surface adjacent to the footing. This level surface should extend a distance equal to at least three times the footing depth.

These recommended values incorporate safety factors of 1.5 and 2.0 applied to the estimated ultimate values for frictional and passive resistance, respectively. To achieve this value of passive resistance, the foundations should be poured "neat" against the native medium dense soils or compacted fill should be used as backfill against the front of the footing. We recommend that the upper one foot of soil be neglected when calculating the passive resistance.

Retaining Walls

The pool side walls and any other retaining walls associated with the pool should be designed and constructed as follows. Retaining walls on the downslope side should be embedded at least an additional one foot into medium dense or better native soils. The lateral pressure acting on subsurface retaining walls is dependent on the nature and density of the soil behind the wall, the amount of lateral wall movement which can occur as backfill is placed, wall drainage conditions, and the inclination of the backfill. For walls that are free to yield at the top at least one thousandth of the height of the wall (active condition), soil pressures will be less than if movement is limited by such factors as wall stiffness or bracing (at-rest condition). We recommend that walls supporting horizontal backfill and not subjected to hydrostatic forces, be designed using a triangular earth pressure distribution equivalent to that exerted by a fluid with a density of 40 pcf for yielding (active condition) walls, and 60 pcf for non-yielding (at-rest condition) walls.

These recommended lateral earth pressures are for a drained granular backfill and are based on the assumption of a horizontal ground surface behind the wall for a distance of at least the height of the wall, and do not account for surcharge loads. Additional lateral earth pressures should be considered for surcharge loads acting adjacent to walls and within a distance equal to the height of the wall. This would include the effects of surcharges such as floor slab loads, slopes, or other surface loads. We could consult with the structural engineer regarding additional loads on retaining walls during final design, if needed.

The lateral pressures on walls may be resisted by friction between the foundation and subgrade soil, and by passive resistance acting on the below-grade portion of the foundation. Recommendations for frictional and passive resistance to lateral loads are presented in the **Foundations** subsection.

All wall backfill should be well compacted as outlined in the **Structural Fill** subsection. Care should be taken to prevent the buildup of excess lateral soil pressures due to over-compaction of the wall backfill. This can be accomplished by placing wall backfill in 8-inch loose lifts and compacting the backfill with small, hand-operated compactors within a distance behind the wall equal to at least one-half the height of the wall. The thickness of the loose lifts should be reduced to accommodate the lower compactive energy of the hand-operated equipment. The recommended level of compaction should still be maintained.

Permanent drainage systems should be installed for retaining walls. Recommendations for these systems are found in the **Subsurface Drainage** subsection. We recommend that we be retained to evaluate the proposed wall drain backfill material and observe installation of the drainage systems.

Other types of retaining walls such as reinforced-earth block walls or rockeries and solider pile walls could be utilized at this site. Final wall types will depend on final wall locations, heights, and budget. We could work with the designers regarding wall designs during the later stages of the project.

Structural Fill

General: Fill placed beneath foundations, pavement, or other settlement-sensitive structures should be placed as structural fill. Structural fill, by definition, is placed in accordance with prescribed methods and standards, and is monitored by an experienced geotechnical professional or soils technician. Field monitoring procedures would include the performance of a representative number of in-place density tests to document the attainment of the desired degree of relative compaction. The area to receive the fill should be suitably prepared as described in the **Site Preparation and Grading** subsection prior to beginning fill placement. Sloping areas to receive fill should be benched using a minimum 8-foot wide horizontal benches into competent soils.

Materials: Structural fill should consist of a good quality, granular soil, free of organics and other deleterious material, and be well graded to a maximum size of about three inches. All-weather fill should contain no more than five-percent fines (soil finer than U.S. No. 200 sieve, based on that fraction passing the U.S. 3/4-inch sieve). Some of the more granular on-site soils may be suitable for use as structural fill; however, this will be highly dependent on the moisture content of the soil during construction. The use of the on-site soils as structural fill during wet weather will be very difficult, if not impossible. We should be retained to evaluate all proposed structural fill material prior to placement.

Fill Placement: Following subgrade preparation, placement of structural fill may proceed. All filling should be accomplished in uniform lifts up to eight inches thick. Each lift should be spread evenly and be thoroughly compacted prior to placement of subsequent lifts. All structural fill underlying building areas and pavement subgrade should be compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of its maximum dry density. Maximum dry density, in this report, refers to that density as determined by the ASTM D-1557 Compaction Test procedure. The moisture content of the soils to be compacted should be within about two percent of optimum so that a readily compactable condition exists. It may be necessary to over-excavate and remove wet soils in cases where drying to a compactable condition is not feasible. All compaction should be accomplished by equipment sufficient to attain the desired degree of compaction and should be tested.

Slab-on-Grade

Slabs-on-grade should be supported on subgrade soils prepared as described in the **Site Preparation and Grading** subsection of this report. We recommend that all floor slabs be underlain by at least six inches of free-draining gravel with less than three percent by weight of the material passing Sieve #200 for use as a capillary break. We recommend that the capillary break be hydraulically connected to the footing drain system to allow free drainage from under the slab. A suitable vapor barrier, such as heavy plastic sheeting (6-mil, minimum), should be placed over the capillary break material. An additional 2-inch-thick moist sand layer may be used to cover the vapor barrier. This sand layer is optional, and is intended to be used to protect the vapor barrier membrane and to aid in curing the concrete.

Pavements

Pavement subgrade preparation and structural filling where required, should be completed as recommended in the **Site Preparation and Grading** and **Structural Fill** subsections of this report. The pavement subgrade should be proof-rolled with a heavy, rubber-tired piece of equipment, to identify soft or yielding areas that require repair. The pavement section should be underlain by a stable subgrade. We should be retained to observe the proof-rolling and recommend subgrade repairs prior to placement of the asphalt or hard surfaces.

Utilities

We recommend that underground utilities be bedded with a minimum 6 inches of pea gravel prior to backfilling the trench with on-site or imported material. Trenches within settlement sensitive areas should be compacted to 95 percent of the modified proctor as described in the **Structural Fill** subsection of this report. Trenches located in non-structural areas should be compacted to a minimum 90 percent of the maximum dry density. Trench backfill compaction should be tested.

Site Drainage

Surface Drainage: The finished ground surface should be graded such that stormwater is directed to an approved stormwater collection system. Water should not be allowed to stand in any areas where footings, slabs, or pavements are to be constructed. Final site grades should allow for drainage away from the residences. We suggest that the finished ground be sloped at a minimum downward gradient of three percent, for a distance of at least 10 feet away from the residences. Surface water should be collected by permanent catch basins and drain lines, and be discharged into an approved discharge system away from the structures, property boundaries, or any sloping ground.

Subsurface Drainage: If groundwater seepage is encountered during construction, we recommend that the contractor slope the bottom of the excavation and collect the water into ditches and small sump pits where the water can be pumped out and routed into a permanent storm drain.

We recommend the use of footing drains around the structures. Footing drains should be installed at least one foot below planned finished floor elevation. The drains should consist of a minimum 4-inchdiameter, rigid, slotted or perforated, PVC pipe surrounded by free-draining material wrapped in a filter fabric. We recommend that the free-draining material consist of an 18-inch-wide zone of clean (less than three-percent fines), granular material. Pea gravel is an acceptable drain material. The free-draining material should extend to one foot below the finished surface. The top foot of backfill should consist of impermeable soil placed over plastic sheeting or building paper to minimize surface water or fines migration into the footing drain. Footing drains should discharge into tightlines leading to an approved collection and discharge point with convenient cleanouts to prolong the useful life of the drains. Roof drains should not be connected to wall or footing drains.

CONSTRUCTION MONITORING

We recommend NGA be retained to provide monitoring and consultation services during construction to confirm that conditions encountered are consistent with those indicated by explorations, to provide recommendations for design changes should the conditions revealed differ from those anticipated, and to evaluate whether or not earthwork and foundation installation activities comply with contract plans and specifications.

Specifically, we should be retained to provide construction monitoring services during the earthwork phase of the project to evaluate subgrade conditions, temporary cut conditions, fill compaction, and drainage system installation.

USE OF THIS LETTER

NGA has prepared this letter for Mr. Gregg Petrie and his agents, for use in the planning and design of the development on this site only. The scope of our work does not include services related to construction safety precautions and our recommendations are not intended to direct the contractors' methods, techniques, sequences, or procedures, except as specifically described in our report for consideration in design. There are possible variations in subsurface conditions between the explorations and also with time. Our report, conclusions, and interpretations should not be construed as a warranty of subsurface conditions. A contingency for unanticipated conditions should be included in the budget and schedule.

We recommend that NGA be retained to provide monitoring and consultation services during construction to confirm that the conditions encountered are consistent with those indicated by the explorations, to provide recommendations for design changes should the conditions revealed differ from those anticipated, and to evaluate whether or not earthwork and foundation installation activities comply with contract plans and specifications. We should be contacted a minimum of one week prior to construction activities and could attend pre-construction meetings if requested.

Within the limitations of scope, schedule, and budget, our services have been performed in accordance with generally accepted geotechnical engineering practices in effect in this area at the time this report was prepared. No other warranty, expressed or implied, is made. Our observations, findings, and opinions are a means to identify and reduce the inherent risks to the owner.

0-0-0

It has been a pleasure to provide service to you on this project. If you have any questions or require

further information, please call.

Sincerely,

NELSON GEOTECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC.

inston Curd

Carston T. Curd, GIT Project Geologist



Khaled M. Shawish, PE Principal

CTC:KMS:dy

Six Figures Attached

cc: Leif Anderson – Anderson Architecture, L.AndersonArchitecture@gmail.com







UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM									
MAJOR DIVISIONS			GROUP SYMBOL	G	RO	UP	NAME		
		CLEAN GW WELL-GRADED, FINE TO COARSE G				COARSE GR/	GRAVEL		
COARSE -	GRAVEL	GRAVEL	GP	POORLY-GRA	DED	GRAVE	iL		
GRAINED	MORE THAN 50 % OF COARSE FRACTION	GRAVEL	GM	SILTY GRAVE					
SOILS	RETAINED ON NO. 4 SIEVE	WITH FINES	GC	CLAYEY GRAV	/EL				
	SAND	CLEAN	sw	WELL-GRADE	D SAI	ND, FIN	E TO COAR	SE SA	ND
MODE THAN 50 %		SAND	SP	POORLY GRADED SAND					
RETAINED ON NO. 200 SIEVE	MORE THAN 50 % OF COARSE FRACTION PASSES NO. 4 SIEVE	SAND	SM	SILTY SAND					
		WITH FINES	SC	CLAYEY SAND)				
FINE -	SILT AND CLAY		ML	SILT					
GRAINED	LIQUID LIMIT	INUNUANIC	CL	CLAY ORGANIC SILT, ORGANIC CLAY					
SOILS	LESS THAN 50 %	ORGANIC	OL						
	SILT AND CLAY		мн	SILT OF HIGI	H PLA	STICIT	Y, ELASTIC :	SILT	
MORE THAN 50 % PASSES			СН	CLAY OF HIGH PLASTICITY, FAT CLAY					
NO. 200 OILVE	50 % OR MORE	ORGANIC	ОН	ORGANIC CL	AY, C	RGAN	IC SILT		
	HIGHLY ORGANIC SOIL	_S	РТ	PEAT					
NOTES 1) Field exa acco 2) Soil is bi 3) Des con inter visu test	S: d classification is based on visual mination of soil in general ordance with ASTM D 2488-93. classification using laboratory tests ased on ASTM D 2488-93. criptions of soil density or sistency are based on rpretation of blowcount data, ial appearance of soils, and/or data.		SOIL MOISTURE MODIFIERS: Dry - Absence of moisture, dusty, dry to the touch Moist - Damp, but no visible water. Wet - Visible free water or saturated, usually soil is obtained from below water table						
Project Number 1159920 Petrie Liquefaction Assessment Nelson Geotechnical Assessment No. Date Revision B Figure 4 Soil Classification Chart Soil Classification Chart Nelson Geotechnical Engineers & Geologists 1 3/4/20 Original D						By DPN	СК стс		

LOG OF EXPLORATION

DEPTH (FEET)	USC	SOIL DESCRIPTION
HAND AUGER 1		
0.0 - 1.0		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
1.0 – 1.8		LIGHT BROWN SILT WITH FINE TO MEDIUM SAND (MOIST, LOOSE-MEDIUM DENSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
1.8 – 5.0	ML	LIGHT GRAY MOTTLED FINE SANDY SILT BECOMING CLAYEY SILT WITH FINE SAND (DRY-MOIST, STIFF-HARD) (PRE-OLYMPIA FINE-GRAINED DEPOSITS)
		SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED AT 5.0 FEET GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED CAVING WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 5.0 FEET ON 02/19/2020
HAND AUGER 2		
0.0 - 0.5		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
0.5 - 3.0		BROWN SILT WITH FINE TO MEDIUM SAND AND ANTHROPOGENIC DEBRIS (MOIST, LOOSE-MEDIUM DENSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
3.0 - 5.0	SM	LIGHT GRAY SILTY FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH IRON OXIDATION STAINING (MOIST-WET, MEDIUM DENSE) (PRE-OLYMPIA FINE-GRAINED DEPOSITS)
		SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED AT 4.0 FEET GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED CAVING WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 5.0 FEET ON 02/19/2020
HAND AUGER 3		
0.0 - 0.6		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
0.6 – 2.3		BROWN SILTY FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH GRAVEL, ORGANIC PARTICULATE, AND IRON OXIDATION STAINING (MOIST, LOOSE-MEDIUM DENSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL)</u>
2.3 - 3.0	SM	GRAY-BROWN SILTY FINE TO COARSE SAND WITH TRACE FINE GRAVEL (MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE) (WEATHERED PRE-OLYMPIA NON-GLACIAL DEPOSITS?)
3.0 - 5.6	SM	LIGHT GRAY SILTY FINE TO COARSE SAND WITH TRACE FINE GRAVEL AND IRON OXIDATION STAINING (MOIST-WET, MEDIUM DENSE) (<u>PRE- OLYMPIA NON-GLACIAL DEPOSITS?</u>)
		SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED AT 5.0 FEET MODERATE GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS ENCOUNTERED AT 4.2 FEET SLIGHT CAVING WAS ENCOUNTERED BELOW 3.3 FEET HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 5.6 FEET ON 02/19/2020
HAND AUGER 4		
0.0 – 1.5		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
1.5 – 2.0		GRAY, CLEAN, ROUNDED GRAVEL (DRY, LOOSE-MEDIUM DENSE) (UNDOCUMENTED FILL)
2.0 - 3.0	SM	LIGHT GRAY, SILTY FINE TO COARSE SAND WITH TRACE FINE GRAVEL AND IRON OXIDATION STAINING (MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE) (WEATHERED PRE-OLYMPIA GLACIAL TILL?)
		SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED AT 3.0 FEET NO GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS ENCOUNTERED CAVING WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 3.0 FEET ON 02/19/2020

LOG OF EXPLORATION

DEPTH (FEET)	USC	SOIL DESCRIPTION
HAND AUGER 5		
0.0 - 0.9		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
0.9 - 3.0	SP	GRAY FINE TO COARSE SAND (MOIST-WET, LOOSE) (LACUSTRINE DEPOSITS)
		SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED AT 2.5 FEET NO GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS ENCOUNTERED CAVING WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 3.0 FEET ON 02/19/2020
HAND AUGER 6		
0.0 - 0.8		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
0.8 - 2.0	SM	LIGHT GRAY, SILTY FINE TO COARSE SAND WITH TRACE FINE GRAVEL (DRY, MEDIUM DENSE) (WEATHERED PRE-OLYMPIA GLACIAL TILL?)
		NO SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED NO GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS ENCOUNTERED CAVING WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 2.0 FEET ON 02/19/2020
HAND AUGER 7		
0.0 - 0.8		BROWN, SILTY, FINE TO MEDIUM SAND WITH ORGANIC PARTICULATE AND ROOTS (MOIST, LOOSE) (<u>UNDOCUMENTED FILL</u>)
0.8 ~ 2.0	SM	LIGHT GRAY SILTY FINE TO COARSE SAND WITH TRACE FINE GRAVEL AND IRON OXIDATION STAINING (MOIST-WET, MEDIUM DENSE) (<u>PRE- OLYMPIA NON-GLACIAL DEPOSITS?</u>)
2.0 - 3.0	SM	LIGHT GRAY, SILTY FINE TO COARSE SAND WITH TRACE FINE GRAVEL AND IRON OXIDATION STAINING (MOIST, MEDIUM DENSE) (WEATHERED PRE-OLYMPIA GLACIAL TILL?)
		NO SAMPLES WERE COLLECTED NO GROUNDWATER SEEPAGE WAS ENCOUNTERED CAVING WAS NOT ENCOUNTERED HAND AUGER TEST HOLE TERMINATED AT 3.0 FEET ON 02/19/2020



NELSON GEOTECHNICAL ASSOCIATES, INC. 17311-135th Ave. N.E. Suite A-500 Woodinville, WA 98072 (425) 486-1669 www.nelsongeotech.com

MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 18, 2021
TO: Gregg Petrie Leif Anderson, Anderson Architecture
FROM: Khaled M. Shawish, PE Carston T. Curd, GIT
RE: Supplemental Geotechnical Engineering Memorandum Petrie Pool Support 2431 – 60th Avenue SE Mercer Island, Washington NGA File No. 1159920

This memo describes our geotechnical recommendations regarding retaining wall support at the Petrie Residence Renovations project located at 2431 – 60th Avenue SE in Mercer Island, Washington.

We previously prepared a geotechnical evaluation for this property dated May 10, 2020. As a part of our previous geotechnical evaluation, our explorations to the east and west of the existing residence structure encountered approximately 2.0 to 3.0 feet of undocumented fill underlain by variably dense, native, glacial and non-glacial soils at depth. We recommended that the retaining walls within site be supported directly on the native soils encountered at depth. We now understand that the 30-foot by 16-foot in-ground pool planned for the down slope side of the residence is settlement-sensitive. Due to the variety of soil types present on the site and the need to reduce the potential for the pool settling, we have been requested to provide our opinions and recommendations for supporting the pool structure on a deep foundation system consisting of driven steel pin piles. This is intended to limit the amount of foundation overexcavation within these areas.

In our opinion, the existing undocumented fill and native deposits underlying the proposed pool are not suitable for foundation support with shallow conventional foundations if some pool settlement cannot be tolerated. In our opinion, supporting the pool structure on a deep foundation system to transfer structure loads down into the underlying competent materials is a more appropriate alternative from a geotechnical standpoint.

The most feasible deep foundation support systems will likely consist of 3- to 4-inch diameter steel pin piles driven to refusal. A structural engineer should design the new foundation supports and determine the location of the supports based on the recommendations provided in this memo.

For the 3- to 4-inch driven steel pipe piles, we recommend that they be driven using a tractor-mounted hydraulic hammer, with an energy rating of at least 1,100 foot-lb. For this pile and hammer size, we recommend a design capacity of 6 and 10 tons for 3- and 4-inch diameter piles driven to refusal, respectively. The refusal criterion for this pile and hammer size is defined as less than one-inch of movement during 15 seconds of continuous driving at a rate of 550 blows per minute or higher. Alternatively if the site is found to be inaccessible to a tractor-mounted hydraulic hammer a 140lb jack hammer could be used to drive 2-inch pin piles to refusal. The refusal criterion for this pile and hammer size is defined as less then one-inch of movement during 60 seconds of continuous driving. We recommend using galvanized schedule 80 pipe for the 2-inch pin piles. The axial compression capacity of the 2-inch pin piles is three tons. Maintaining these recommendations for minimum hammer size and refusal criteria is essential for obtaining a successful outcome.

Final pile depths should be expected to vary and will depend on the nature and the depth to the competent soils. Our previous explorations encountered approximately 2.0 to 3.0 feet of undocumented fill underlain by medium dense or better native soils. Due to the limited nature of the explorations, one or more "test" piles can be installed by a piling contractor to verify design parameters and estimate an approximate depth of the piles that will be needed for budgeting purposes.

We recommend that the piles extend a minimum of five feet into the competent native bearing deposits, in addition to meeting the above refusal criterion. Piles that do not meet this minimum embedment criterion should be rejected, and replacement piles should be driven after consulting with the structural engineer on the new pile locations. Due to the relatively small slenderness ratio of pin piles, maintaining pin pile confinement and lateral support is essential to preventing pile buckling. The piles should be spaced a minimum of three feet apart to avoid a grouping effect on the piles.

Vertically driven pin piles do not provide meaningful lateral capacity. Due to the rigid pile support, friction between the foundation and subgrade soil should not be considered as resisting lateral pressures on this structure. We recommend that all lateral loads be resisted on battered pin piles and/or passive resistance on the below-grade portions of the foundations and grade beams. The upper foot of soil should be neglected when calculating the passive resistance. We recommend using an

equivalent fluid density of 100 pcf for calculating the passive resistance. This value incorporates a factor of safety of 2.0.

We should be retained to review final plans and to monitor installation of the pin piles during construction. All other recommendations provided in our previous report should be strictly followed during the design of the project and during the construction phase.

We trust this memorandum should satisfy your needs at this time. Please contact us if you have any questions or require additional services.

0-0-0

PILING Support @ SPA STEPHEN TAPP JOB ARCHITECT/P.E. SHEET NO 2330 East Madison Street STT 3/12/21 SEATTLE, WA 98112 CALCULATED BY (206) 320-0534 CHECKED BY. DATE SCALE CHECK PILING SUPPORT @ SPA GIVEN: A GOTEDULE SO PLES PAL = 10T (20F) GPA LEIGHT LAUG-(10)(1)(45)(15/5+) 67.5K - CONC FLOOR(2)-(2)(1)(934) (.15 /503) 27.94 OVER-171014 - (7)(1)(15)(15 (15) 15.8" 12 ATBR (29) (12) (. 06+ 1/573). 22.3K - OCCLUPANTS (8)(.175 F/EA) 1.4K - FOAM (193)(12) (.055 \$ 55) - DRT (193)(12) (155) PILE SPACING 136 K = (23G)/20 = 13.1 PUES SPACING = 45/3.1 = 3.5

D

Pool ETAIE \ERKAC STEPHEN TAPP JOB ARCHITECT/P.E. SHEET NO 2330 East Madison Street STT DATE 3/12/ SEATTLE, WA 98112 2 CALCULATED BY_ (206) 320-0534 CHECKED BY. DATE SCALE CHECK PILING SUPPOPT @ POOL GIVEN: 4"1 - 1100..... 4 SchEDULE 30 PILES PALLO14 = 10 (20)= Paor LEIGHT - CONC ILMUS (7)(1)(100)(15/403) 105K FLOOP (416")(1) (15 \$553) 62.4" OVER FLOID (32) (5) (1) (15 / A3) 24 12AT22 (269) (32) (. 064 \$\$ \$\$ 551K - OCCUPATTES (25)(.175 / EA) 150.8 PILE SPACING = 750.3 = 33 PILAS = (100 LF)/33 = 2.6 0.C.

cense : KW-06011595 cense To : archeng2330, KW-060	11595	Cantilevered	Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2015	5,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-
Criteria					
Retained Height	=	7.00 ft			
Wall height above soil	=	0.00 ft			
Slope Behind Wall	=	0.00			
Height of Soil over Toe	=	0.00 in		Ξ	· · ·
Water height over heel	=	0.0 ft			
Load Factors					
Building Code	IF	SC 2015 ACI			
Dead Load		1 200			
Live Load		1.600			
Earth H		1.600			
Wind W		1.000			
Seismic E		1.000			
Soil Data and Lateral Farth	Pressi	Ire			
	-	2 000 0 pot	Soil Donoity, Hool		125 00 PCf
	=	2,000.0 psi	Soil Density, Heel	=	125.00 Por
Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method		10.0 (11)	Soli Density, Toe	=	125.00 pcr
Active Heel Pressure	=	40.0 pst/ft	Footing Soil Frictio	on =	0.350
	=		Soli neight to ignor		0.00 in
Passive Pressure	=	200.0 psf/ft	for passive pres	sule =	0.00 m
Surcharge Loads					
Axial Load Applied to Stem					
Avial Dead Load	_	0.0 lbs	Avial Load Eccent	icity –	0.0 in
Axial Live Load	_	0.0 lbs		icity –	0.0 111
Lateral Load Applied to Ste	m				
Lateral Load	=	0.0 #/ft			
Height to Top	=	0.00 ft			
Height to Bottom	=	0.00 ft			
Load Type	=	Wind (W)			
		(Service Level)			
Vind on Exposed Stem					
Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level)	=	0.0 psf			
Adjacent Footing Load					
Adjacent Footing Load	=	0.0 lbs	Footing Type	Li	ine Load
Footing Width	=	0.00 ft	Base Above/Belov	v Soil	
Eccentricity	_	0.00 %		/oll	004
	=	0.00 IN	ат васк от М	all =	υ.υ π
		0.00.4	Delesses Det		0.000

East Wall of Pool - Grid 'E'
STT
tion
all of Pool-Grid 'E'

Project Name/Number :

Page : 2 Date: 22 MAR 2021

Inercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595		Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13
Wall Design Summary			
Stability Ratios			
Overturning	=	10.08 OK	
Sliding	=	1.10 Ratio < 1.5!	
Soil Bearing			
Total Bearing Load	=	3,790 lbs	
resultant ecc.	=	25.01 in	
Soil Pressure @ Toe	=	37 psf OK	
Soil Pressure @ Heel	=	431 psf OK	
Allowable Soil Pressure Less T	= Than Allowable	2,000 psf	
ACI Factored @ Toe	=	51 psf	
ACI Factored @ Heel	=	604 psf	
Footing Shear @ Toe	=	8.9 psi OK	
Footing Shear @ Heel	=	0.0 psi OK	

Sliding

Resisting Forces

Allowable

Sliding Forces

75.0 psi

=

Vertical Forces	Force	Lateral Forces	Force
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	0.0 lbs	Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	1,333.9 lbs
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0	Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0
Water Over Heel	0.0	Hydrostatic Force	0.0
Buoyant Force	0.0	* Heel Active Pressure	1,333.9
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0	Surcharge over Heel	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0	Adjacent Footing	0.0
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0	Surcharge Over Toe	0.0
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0	Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0
Axial Live Load on Stem *	Omit	Added Lateral Load	0.0
Soil Over Toe	0.0	Seismic Load	0.0
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0	Seismic-Self-weight	0.0
Stem Weight(s)	875.0	Lateral on Key	0.0
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0	Totals -	1 333 9 lbs
Footing Weight	2,595.8		1,000.0 100
Key Weight	0.0	*Includes water table effect	
Vert. Component **	319.5		
Total Vertical Loads	3,790.3 lbs		

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed , or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Sliding Calcs

Lateral Sliding Force	=		1,333.9 lbs
less 100% Passive Force	=	-	136.1 lbs
less 100% Friction Force	=	-	1,326.6 lbs
Added Force Req'd	=		0.0 lbs OK
for 1.5 Stability	=		538.1 lbs NG

Vertical component of active lateral soil pressure IS considered in the calculation of soil bearing pressures.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantileve	red F	Retaining	g Wall	Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13
Overturning					
Resisting Moments					
Resisting Moments	Force	Dis	tance	Moment	
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	0.0	lbs	14.83 ft	0.0ft-#	
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0				
Water Table	0.0				
Soil Over Heel	0.0		14.83	0.0	
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0				
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0				
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0				
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0				
Axial Live Load on Stem *	0.0				
Soil Over Toe	0.0				
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0				
Stem Weight(s)	875.0		14.42	12,614.6	
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0				
Footing Weight	2,595.8		7.42	19,252.4	
Key Weight	0.0				
Vert. Component	319.5		14.83	4,738.6	
Total Vertical Loads	3,790.3	lbs			
Resisti	ing Moment			36,605.6 ft-	#
Eccent	ricity			15.4 in	

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed, or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Overturning

Overturning Moments

Overturning Moments	Force	Distance	Moment
Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	1,333.9 lbs	2.72 ft	3,631.1 ft-#
Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0		
Hydrostatic Force	0.0		
Buoyant Force	0.0		
Surcharge over Heel	0.0		
Adjacent Footing	0.0		
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0		
Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0		
Added Lateral Load	0.0		
Seismic Load	0.0		
Seismic-Self-weight	0.0		
Totals =	1,333.9 lbs		
	Overturning	Moment	3,631.1 ft-#

calc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.2(use : KW-06011595	0.03.31	Cantilevered Retaining	Wall Code: IBC 2015.ACI 318-14.ACI 530-
nse To : archeng2330, KW-06011	595	j	
em Design Summary			
		Bottom	
		Stem OK	
Design Height Above Ftg	ft =	0.00	
Wall Material Above "Ht"	=	Concrete	
Design Method	=	LRFD	
Thickness	=	10.00	
Rebar Size	=	# 5	
Rebar Spacing	=	12.00	
Rebar Placed at	=	Edge	
Design Data			
fb/FB + fa/Fa	=	0.335	
Total Force @ Section			
Service Level	lbs =		
Strength Level	lbs =	1,568.0	
MomentActual			
Service Level	ft-# =		
Strength Leve	ft-# =	3,658.7	
MomentAllowable	=	10,911.3	
ShearActual			
Service Level	psi =		
Strength Leve	psi =	16.0	
ShearAllowable	psi =	75.0	
Anet	in2 =		
Rebar Depth 'd'	in =	8.19	
Masonry Data			
f'm	psi =		
Fs	psi =		
Solid Grouting	=		
Modular Ratio 'n'	=		
Wall Weight	psf =	125.0	
Short Term Factor	=		
Equiv. Solid Thick.	=		
Masonry Block Type	=	Medium Weight	
Masonry Design Method	=	ASD	
Concrete Data	· ·	0.500.0	
t'C	psi =	2,500.0	

32

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595		Cantilevered Retaining Wall			Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13			
Concrete Stem Rebar Area Deta	nils							
Bottom Stem	Vertical Reinforcing		Horizontal Reinfor	cing				
As (based on applied moment) :	0.10	33 in2/ft						
(4/3) * As :	0.1378 in2/ft		Min Stem T&S Reinf Area 1.680 in2					
200bd/fy : 200(12)(8.1875)/60000 :	0.3275 in2/ft		Min Stem T&S Reinf Area per ft of stem Height : 0.240 in2/ft					
0.0018bh : 0.0018(12)(10) :	0.216 in2/ft		Horizontal Reinfor	Horizontal Reinforcing Options :				
	====		One layer of :	Two layers of :				
Required Area :	0.21	6 in2/ft	#4@ 10.00 in	#4@ 20.00 in				
Provided Area :	0.31	in2/ft	#5@ 15.50 in	#5@ 31.00 in				
Maximum Area :	1.10	92 in2/ft	#6@ 22.00 in	#6@ 44.00 in				
Footing Data								
Toe Width	=	14.00 ft	f'c		=	2,500 psi		
Heel Width	=	0.83	Fy		=	60,000 psi		
Total Footing Width	=	14.83 ft	Footing Cond	crete Density	=	150.00 pcf		
Footing Thickness	=	14.00 in	Min. As %		=	0.0018		
Key Width	=	0.00 in	Rebar Cover	@ Тор	=	2.00 in		
Key Depth	=	0.00 in		@ Bottom	=	3.00 in		
Key Distance from Toe	=	0.00 ft						

Footing Design Results

		Toe	Heel	
Factored Pressure	=	51	604	psf
Mu' : Upward	=	264,841	0	ft-#
Mu' : Downward	=	246,960	0	ft-#
Mu: Design	=	1,490	0	ft-#
Actual 1-Way Shear	=	8.94	0.00	psi
Allow 1-Way Shear	=	75.00	0.00	psi
Toe Reinforcing	=	# 5 @ 12.00 in		
Heel Reinforcing	=	# 5 @ 12.30 in		
Key Reinforcing	=	None Spec'd		
Toe: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in Heel: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in Key: No key defined	, #6@ ⁻ , #6@ ⁻	17.46 in, #7@ 23.80 17.46 in, #7@ 23.80	in, #8@ 31.34 in, in, #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 39 #9@ 39
Min footing T&S reinf Area	4.49) in2		
Min footing T&S reinf Area per fo	0.30) in2 /ft		
If one layer of horizontal bars:		If two layers of hor	izontal bars:	
#4@ 7.94 in		#4@ 15.87 in		
#5@ 12.30 in		#5@ 24.60 in		
#6@ 17.46 in		#6@ 34.92 in		
Footing Torsion, Tu	=	0.00 ft-lb	os	
Footing Allow. Torsion, phi Tu	=	0.00 ft-lb	DS	

If torsion exceeds allowable, provide supplemental design for footing torsion.

33

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Reta	ining Wall	Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13
Tilt			
Horizontal Deflection at Top of Wall d	ue to settlement of	soil	
(Deflection due to wall bending not considered)			
Soil Spring Reaction Modulus	250.0 pci		
Horizontal Defl @ Top of Wall (approximate only)	0.070 in		
The above calculation is not valid if the heel soil b	earing pressure exceeds	that of the toe,	
because the wall would then tend to rotate into the	e retained soil.		

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13
Rebar Lap & Embedment Lengths Inf	ormation	
(Applying TMS 402 provisions) or (Applying IBC	modifications to TMS 402 provisions)	
Stem Design Segment: Bottom		
Stem Design Height: 0.00 ft above top of foo	ting	
Lap Splice length for #5 bar specified in this ster	23.40 in	
Development length for #5 bar specified in this s	18.00 in	
Hooked embedment length into footing for #5 ba	r specified in this stem design segment =	10.50 in
As Provided =	0.3100 in2/ft	
As Required =	0.2160 in2/ft	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13
---	--------------------------------------



Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2015,ACI 318-14,ACI 530-13


Criteria	cense : KW-06011595 icense To : archeng2330, KW-0601	1595	Cantilevered	Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2	012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-
Staaling Huight = 10.00 ft Stope Bahind Wall = 0.00 ft Stope Bahind Wall = 0.00 ft Stope Bahind Wall = 0.00 ft March height over hele = 0.00 ft Load Factors	Criteria					
Wall height above soil = 1.00 ft Bigepe Behind Wall = 0.00 Valer height of Sol over Toe = 50.00 in Valer height over heel = 0.00 t Dead Load 1.200	Retained Height	=	10.00 ft			
Stope Benind Wall = 0.00 Height of Soil over Toe = 50.00 in Water Height over heel = 0.0 ft Load Factors	Wall height above soil	=	1.00 ft			
Height of Soli over Toe = 0.0 ft Water height over theal = 0.0 ft Load Factors Load Factors Load Gactors Load Code Baulding Code Baulding Code Baulding Code Dead Load 1.200 Live Load 1.800 Earth. H 1.800 Wind. W 1.000 Soli Data and Lateral Earth Pressure How Soli Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Soli Data and Lateral Earth Pressure How Soli Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Soli Density, Toe = 0.330 Soli Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soli Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soli Density, Toe = 0.330 Soli Density, Toe = 0.330 Soli Density, Toe = 0.330 Soli Density, Toe = 0.300 Soli Density, Toe = 0.00 rt Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral L	Slope Behind Wall	=	0.00			
Water height over heel = 0.0 ft Load Factors Building Code IBC 2012.AC1 Dead Load 1.200 Live Load 1.200 Live Load 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 0.350 Soil Density, Toe = 12.00 in Soil Density Toe = 0.0.0 psf Soil height to ignore 12.00 in Soil Density Toe = 0.0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 its Axial Load Eccentricity </td <td>Height of Soil over Toe</td> <td>=</td> <td>50.00 in</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Height of Soil over Toe	=	50.00 in			
Load Factors Building Code IBC 2012.ACI Dead Load 1.200 Live Load 1.600 Earth, H 1.600 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Deating = 125.00 Pcf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 0.350 Passive Pressure = 20.0.0 psfrt Soil Density, Toe = 12.00 in Soil Density, Toe = 0.350 Soil Density, Toe = 0.350 Soil Density, Toe = 0.350 Soil Density, Toe = 0.00 in Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0.0 pf Lateral Load Applied to Stem	Water height over heel	=	0.0 ft			
Building Code IBC 2012,ACI Deed Load 1.200 Live Load 1.600 Earth, H 1.600 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Allow Soil Bearing = 2.000.0 psf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Surcharge Loads = 200.0 psf/ft Footing[]Soil Friction = 0.350 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 in Axial Load Applied to Stem	Load Factors					
Dead Land 1 200 Live Load 1.600 Live Load 1.600 Wind, W 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure 1.25.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 1.25.00 pcf Passive Pressure = 2.000.0 psf/ft Footing[Soil Friction = 0.350 Surcharge Loads	Building Code	IE	3C 2012.ACI			
Live Load 1.600 Earth, H 1.600 Wind, W 1.000 Soil Deta and Lateral Earth Pressure = 125.00 Pcf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Active Hed Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing[IS0] Friction = 0.350 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Footing[IS0] Friction = 0.350 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 in Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.00 ft Height to Botom	Dead Load		1.200			
Earth, H 1.600 Wind, W 1.000 Selemic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Item to be the selemic of the selemic o	Live Load		1.600			
Wind, W 1.000 Seismin, E 1.000 Stoll Data and Lateral Earth Pressure 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing[[Soil Friction = 0.350 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 12.00 in Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Suid Dead Load Asial Dead Load = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem	Earth. H		1.600			
Selsmic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Intow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing Soil Friction = 0.0500 Active Heel Pressure = 200.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Footing Soil Friction = 0.3500 Surcharge Loads = 200.0 psf/ft Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Stiding & Overturning Used for Stiding & Overturning 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem	Wind, W		1.000			
Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method = 35.0 psf/ft Footing[]Soil Finction = 0.350 Active Heel Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Footing[]Soil Finction = 0.350 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Footing[]Soil Finction = 0.350 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Vised To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 b/s Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 m/ft Lateral Load = 0.0 m/ft Lateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 m/ft	Seismic, E		1.000			
Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 125.00 pcf Equivalent Fluid Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing[]Soil Friction = 0.350 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 0.350 Surcharge Loads = 200.0 psf/ft Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem	Soil Data and Lateral Earth	Pressi	ıre			
Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Soil Density, Toe = 125.00 pcf Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Soil Density Toe = 0.350 Soil Density Toe = 0.00 in Passive Pressure = 200.0 pst/ft Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Cover Heel = 0.0 psf Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Stem = 0.0 psf Line Ioad = 0.0 psf (service Level) Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 psf Control Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs	Allow Soil Bearing	=	2,000.0 psf	Soil Densitv. Heel	=	125.00 pcf
Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Footing[[Sol Friction = 0.360 Passive Pressure = 200.0 pst/ft Sol height to ignore = 12.00 in Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Cver Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem - - 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Sol multicity = 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 psf Sol multicity = 0.0 in Mind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf Sol multicity Execentricity Execentricity	Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method		· · · · · ·	Soil Density, Toe	=	125.00 pcf
Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft for passive pressure = 12.00 in Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem - - 0.0 in - 0.0 in Axial Load Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem - 0.0 lbs - - 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem - 0.0 lbs - - 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.00 ft - - - 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.00 ft - 0.0 ft - - - 0.0	Active Heel Pressure	=	35.0 psf/ft	Footing Soil Friction	on =	0.350
Passive Pressure = 200.0 pst/ft for passive pressure = 12.00 in Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem - - 0.0 in - Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Live Load = 0.0 #/ft - - 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem - - - 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft - - - 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 ft - 0.0 ft - - - 0.0 ft - - 0.0 ft - <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>Soil height to ignor</td><td>e</td><td></td></td<>				Soil height to ignor	e	
Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used for Sliding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Used for Sliding & Overturning = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Used for Sliding & Overturning = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Used for Sliding & Overturning = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Coad Type = 0.00 ft Used for Sliding & Overturning = 0.0 psf (Service Level) Xind on Exposed Stem Stem Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Poistog Clipt Other Step Clipt		=		for passive press	sure =	12.00 in
Surcharge Loads Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 0.0 Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 #/ft	Passive Pressure	=	200.0 psf/ft			
Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 0.0 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning	Surcharge Loads					
Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Lateral Load = 0.00 ft Lateral Load = 0.00 ft Load Type = 0.0 psf Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil						
Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = 0.0 in Lateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 #/ft Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Lateral Load = 0.00 ft Line Load Type = 0.0 psf Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity = 0.00 ft at Back of Wall = 0.00 ft	Axial Load Applied to Stem					
Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Height to Top = 0.00 ft Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Eccentricity = 0.00 it Pate Aback of Wall = 0.00 ft	Axial Dead Load	=	0.0 lbs	Axial Load Eccentr	icity =	0.0 in
Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Height to Top = 0.00 ft Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity = 0.00 ft	Axial Live Load	=	0.0 lbs			
Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Height to Top = 0.00 ft Load Type = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Well to Et Cl. Dist = 0.00 in at Back of Wall = 0.00 ft	Lateral Load Applied to Ste	m				
Height to Top = 0.00 ft Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Well to Etc Cl. Dict = 0.00 ft Poisson's Pation	Lateral Load	=	0.0 #/ft			
Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Woult to Eta Cl. Dist = 0.00 ft Priscon's Ratio = 0.00 ft	Height to Top	=	0.00 ft			
Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil 0.0 ft Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Dise and for the prise on the Patring 0.00 ft	Height to Bottom	=	0.00 ft			
Wind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf Wind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf (Service Level) Adjacent Footing Load	Load Type	=	Wind (W) (Service Level)			
Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil 0.00 ft Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Pairson's Patio = 0.00 ft	Nind on Exposed Stem		(
Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 lbs Base Above/Below Soil Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil 0.00 ft Eccentricity = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil 0.00 ft Wall to Fits CL Dist = 0.00 ft Baits on is Pation = 0.200	Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level)	=	0.0 psf			
Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil - 0.00 ft Eccentricity = 0.00 in at Back of Wall = 0.00 ft Well to Fig CL Dist = 0.00 ft Poisson's Patio = 0.200	Adjacent Footing Load					
Footing Width=0.00 ftBase Above/Below SoilEccentricity=0.00 inat Back of Wall=0.0 ftWell to Eta CL Dist=0.00 ftPoisson's Patio=0.200	Adjacent Footing Load	=	0.0 lbs	Footing Type		Line Load
Eccentricity = 0.00 in at Back of Wall = 0.0 ft Wall to Eta CL Dist = 0.00 ft Poisson's Patio = 0.300	Footing Width	=	0.00 ft	Base Above/Below	v Soil	
Well to Eta CL Diet $-$ 0.00 ft Dieseon's Patio $-$ 0.200	Eccentricity	_	0.00 in	at Rack of M	all –	0.0.ft
		_		Poisson's Patio	-	0.0 11

Project Name/Number :

Page : 2 Date: 22 MAR 2021

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-0	11.20.03.31 6011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Wall Design Summary			
Stability Ratios			
Overturning	=	11.26 OK	
Sliding	=	3.09 OK	
Soil Bearing			
Total Bearing Load	=	11,445 lbs	
resultant ecc.	=	8.01 in	
Soil Pressure @ Toe	=	554 psf_OK	
Soil Pressure @ Heel	=	999 psf_OK	
Allowable Soil Pressure Less 1	= Than Allowable	2,000 psf	
ACI Factored @ Toe	=	776 psf	
ACI Factored @ Heel	=	1,398 psf	
Footing Shear @ Toe	=	0.2 psi OK	
Footing Shear @ Heel	=	0.0 psi OK	
Allowable	=	75.0 psi	

Sliding

Resisting Forces

Sliding Forces

Vertical Forces	Force	Lateral Forces	Force
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	0.0 lbs	Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	2,182.2 lbs
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0	Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0
Water Over Heel	0.0	Hydrostatic Force	0.0
Buoyant Force	0.0	* Heel Active Pressure	2,182.2
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0	Surcharge over Heel	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0	Adjacent Footing	0.0
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0	Surcharge Over Toe	0.0
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0	Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0
Axial Live Load on Stem *	Omit	Added Lateral Load	0.0
Soil Over Toe	6,770.8	Seismic Load	0.0
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0	Seismic-Self-weight	0.0
Stem Weight(s)	1,650.0	Lateral on Key	0.0
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0	Totals -	2 182 2 lbs
Footing Weight	2,450.0		2,102.2 100
Key Weight	0.0	*Includes water table effect	
Vert. Component **	574.3		
Total Vertical Loads	11,445.2 lbs		

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed , or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Sliding Calcs

Lateral Sliding Force	=		2,182.2 lbs
less 100% Passive Force	=	-	2,744.4 lbs
less 100% Friction Force	=	-	4,005.8 lbs
Added Force Req'd	=		0.0 lbs OK
for 1.5 Stability	=		0.0 lbs OK

Vertical component of active lateral soil pressure IS considered in the calculation of soil bearing pressures.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantileve	red Retaini	ng Wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Overturning				
Resisting Moments				
Resisting Moments	Force	Distance	Moment	
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	0.0	lbs f	t ft-#	
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0			
Water Table	0.0			
Soil Over Heel	0.0	14.00		
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0			
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0			
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0			
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0			
Axial Live Load on Stem *	0.0			
Soil Over Toe	6,770.8	6.50	44,010.4	
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0	40.50	00.075.0	
Stem Weight(s)	1,650.0	13.50	22,275.0	
Earth @ Stem Transitions	2.450.0	7.00	17 150 0	
Found Weight	2,450.0	7.00	17,150.0	
Key Weight	0.0 574.3	14.00	8 040 0	
			0,040.9	
Total Vertical Loads	11,445.2	Ibs		
Resist	ing Moment		91,476.3 ft-	#
Eccen	tricity		3.4 in	

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed, or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Overturning

Overturning Moments

Overturning Moments	Force	Distance	Moment
Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	2,182.2 lbs	3.72 ft	8,122.5 ft-#
Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0		
Hydrostatic Force	0.0		
Buoyant Force	0.0		
Surcharge over Heel	0.0		
Adjacent Footing	0.0		
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0		
Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0		
Added Lateral Load	0.0		
Seismic Load	0.0		
Seismic-Self-weight	0.0		
Totals =	2,182.2 lbs		
	Overturning	Moment	8,122.5 ft-#

nse To : archeng2330, KW-0601	1595		
em Design Summary		Bettem	
		Bottom	
Design Usight Above Ftg	f+	Stem OK	
Design height Above Fig	n =	0.00	
Wall Material Above "Ht"	=	Concrete	
Design Method	=	LRFD	
Thickness	=	12.00	
Rebar Size	=	# 5	
Rebar Spacing	=	12.00	
Rebar Placed at	=	Edge	
Design Data			
fb/FB + fa/Fa	=	0.681	
Total Force @ Section			
Service Level	lbs =		
Strength Level	lbs =	2,800.0	
MomentActual			
Service Level	ft-# =		
Strength Leve	ft-# =	9,333.3	
MomentAllowable	=	13,701.3	
ShearActual			
Service Level	psi =		
Strength Level	psi =	22.9	
ShearAllowable	psi =	75.0	
Anet	in2 =		
Rebar Depth 'd'	in =	10.19	
Masonry Data			
f'm	psi =		
Fs	psi =		
Solid Grouting	=		
Modular Ratio 'n'	=		
Wall Weight	psf =	150.0	
Short Term Factor	=		
Equiv. Solid Thick.	=		
Masonry Block Type	=	Medium Weight	
Masonry Design Method	=	ASD	
Concrete Data		0.500.0	
TC Fy	psi =	2,500.0	

Page : 5 Date: 22 MAR 2021

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20. License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-060115	03.31 i95	Cantilever	ed Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 201	12,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Concrete Stem Rebar Area Det	ails				
Bottom Stem	Vert	ical Reinforcing	Horizontal Reinforcing		
As (based on applied moment) :	0.21	02 in2/ft			
(4/3) * As :	0.28	02 in2/ft	Min Stem T&S Reinf Area	a 3.168 in2	
200bd/fy : 200(12)(10.1875)/60000 :	0.40	75 in2/ft	Min Stem T&S Reinf Area	a per ft of stem Heigh	nt : 0.288 in2/ft
0.0018bh : 0.0018(12)(12) :	0.25	92 in2/ft	Horizontal Reinforcing Op	otions :	
	===:		One layer of : Two la	yers of :	
Required Area :	0.28	02 in2/ft	#4@ 8.33 in #4@ ?	16.67 in	
Provided Area :	0.31	in2/ft	#5@ 12.92 in #5@	25.83 in	
Maximum Area :	1.38	01 in2/ft	#6@ 18.33 in #6@	36.67 in	
Footing Data					
Toe Width	=	13.00 ft	f'c	=	2,500 psi
Heel Width	=	1.00	Fy	=	60,000 psi
Total Footing Width	=	14.00 ft	Footing Concrete De	ensity =	150.00 pcf
Footing Thickness	=	14.00 in	Min. As %	=	0.0018
Key Width	=	0.00 in	Rebar Cover @	2 Тор =	2.00 in
Key Depth	=	0.00 in	Q	Bottom =	3.00 in
Key Distance from Toe	=	0.00 ft			

Footing Design Results

		Toe	Heel	
Factored Pressure	=	776	1,398	psf
Mu' : Upward	=	982,145	0	ft-#
Mu' : Downward	=	846,690	0	ft-#
Mu: Design	=	11,288	0	ft-#
Actual 1-Way Shear	=	0.20	0.00	psi
Allow 1-Way Shear	=	75.00	0.00	psi
Toe Reinforcing	=	# 7 @ 16.00 in		
Heel Reinforcing	=	# 6 @ 16.00 in		
Key Reinforcing	=	None Spec'd		
Other Acceptable Sizes & Spacings Toe: #4@ 7.30 in, #5@ 11.32 in, Heel: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in, Key: No key defined	3 #6@ 1 #6@ 1	6.07 in, #7@ 21.91 in 7.46 in, #7@ 23.80 in	, #8@ 28.85 in, , #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 36 #9@ 39
Min footing T&S reinf Area	4.23	in2		
Min footing T&S reinf Area per fo	0.30	in2 /ft		
If one layer of horizontal bars: #4@ 7.94 in #5@ 12.30 in #6@ 17.46 in	ľ	f two layers of horiz #4@ 15.87 in #5@ 24.60 in #6@ 34.92 in	ontal bars:	
Footing Torsion, Tu	=	0.00 ft-lbs		

If torsion exceeds allowable, provide supplemental design for footing torsion.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Tilt		
Horizontal Deflection at Top of Wa	Il due to settlement of soil	
(Deflection due to wall bending not considered))	
Soil Spring Reaction Modulus	250.0 pci	
Horizontal Defl @ Top of Wall (approximate of	nly) 0.070 in	
The above calculation is not valid if the heel se	bil bearing pressure exceeds that of the toe,	
because the wall would then tend to rotate into	the retained soil.	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Rebar Lap & Embedment Lengths Info	ormation	
(Applying TMS 402 provisions) or (Applying IBC r	modifications to TMS 402 provisions)	
Stem Design Segment: Bottom		
Stem Design Height: 0.00 ft above top of foot	ing	
Lap Splice length for #5 bar specified in this stem	n design segment =	23.40 in
Development length for #5 bar specified in this st	em design segment =	18.00 in
Hooked embedment length into footing for #5 bar	specified in this stem design segment =	10.50 in
As Provided =		0.3100 in2/ft
As Required =		0.2802 in2/ft

Page : 8 Date: 22 MAR 2021

This Wall in File:

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2012, ACI 318-11, ACI 530-11



Page : 9 Date: 22 MAR 2021

This Wall in File:

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2012, ACI 318-11, ACI 530-11



cense : KW-06011595 cense To : archeng2330, KW-060	11595	Cantilevered	Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 20	18,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-
Criteria					
Retained Height	=	9.00 ft			
Wall height above soil	=	0.00 ft			
Slope Behind Wall	=	0.00			
Height of Soil over Toe	=	60.00 in			
Water height over heel	=	0.0 ft			
Load Factors					
	16				
Dead Load	11	1 200			
Live Load		1.200			
Earth H		1.600			
Wind W		1.000			
Seismic E		1.000			
Soil Data and Lateral Earth	Drocer	1.000			
	FIESSL				tor op pef
Allow Soll Bearing	=	2,000.0 pst	Soil Density, Heel	=	125.00 PC
Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method		10.0 = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	Soil Density, Loe	=	125.00 pct
Active Heel Pressure	=	40.0 pst/ft	Footing Soil Friction	on =	0.400
	=		Soil height to ignor		12.00 in
Passive Pressure	=	200.0 psf/ft	tor passive pres	sule =	12.00 In
Surcharge Loads					
Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu	rning		Used for Slidi	ng & Overturning	
Axial Load Applied to Stem					
Axial Dead Load	=	0.0 lbs	Axial Load Eccent	ricity =	0.0 in
Axial Live Load	=	0.0 lbs			
ateral Load Applied to Ste	m				
Lateral Load	=	0.0 #/ft			
Height to Top	=	0.00 ft			
Height to Bottom	=	0.00 ft			
Load Type	=	Wind (W)			
Vind on Exposed Stem		(Service Level)			
(Service Level)	=	0.0 pst			
Adjacent Footing Load					
Adjacent Footing Load	=	0.0 lbs	Footing Type		Line Load
Footing Width	=	0.00 ft	Base Above/Belov	w Soil	
Eccentricity	=	0.00 in	at Back of M	/all –	0 0 ft
Wall to Eta CL Dist	_	0.00 ft	Poisson's Ratio	-	0.0 11
Wail IU Fly OL DISL	-	0.00 ft	1 01330113 1/4110	-	0.300

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595		Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-		
Wall Design Summary					
Stability Ratios					
Overturning	=	2.80 OK			
Sliding	=	2.97 OK			
Soil Bearing					
Total Bearing Load	=	6,084 lbs			
resultant ecc.	=	0.99 in			
Soil Pressure @ Toe	=	1,106 psf_OK			
Soil Pressure @ Heel	=	910 psf OK			
Allowable Soil Pressure Less T	= han Allowable	2,000 psf			
ACI Factored @ Toe	=	1,549 psf			
ACI Factored @ Heel	=	1,274 psf			
Footing Shear @ Toe	=	5.5 psi OK			
Footing Shear @ Heel	=	12.3 psi OK			
Allowable	=	75.0 psi			

Sliding

Resisting Forces

Sliding Forces

Vertical Forces	Force	Lateral Forces	Force
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	753.8 lbs	Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	2,067.2 lbs
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0	Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0
Water Over Heel	0.0	Hydrostatic Force	0.0
Buoyant Force	0.0	* Heel Active Pressure	2,067.2
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0	Surcharge over Heel	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0	Adjacent Footing	0.0
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0	Surcharge Over Toe	0.0
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0	Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0
Axial Live Load on Stem *	Omit	Added Lateral Load	0.0
Soil Over Toe	2,137.5	Seismic Load	0.0
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0	Seismic-Self-weight	0.0
Stem Weight(s)	1,350.0	Lateral on Key	0.0
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0	Totals -	2.067.2 lbs
Footing Weight	890.8		2,007.2 100
Key Weight	0.0	*Includes water table effect	
Vert. Component **	951.7		
Total Vertical Loads	6,083.7 lbs		

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed , or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Sliding Calcs

Lateral Sliding Force	=		2,067.2 lbs
less 100% Passive Force	=	-	3,702.8 lbs
less 100% Friction Force	=	-	2,433.5 lbs
Added Force Req'd	=		0.0 lbs OK
for 1.5 Stability	=		0.0 lbs OK

Vertical component of active lateral soil pressure IS considered in the calculation of soil bearing pressures.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantileve	ered F	Retaining	g Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-16
Overturning					
Resisting Moments					
Resisting Moments	<u>Force</u>	Dis	tance	Moment	
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	753.8	lbs	4.76 ft	3,584.1ft-#	ŧ
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0				
Water Table	0.0				
Soil Over Heel	753.8		4.76	3,584.1	
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0				
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0				
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0				
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0				
Axial Live Load on Stem *	0.0				
Soil Over Toe	2,137.5		1.71	3,655.1	
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0				
Stem Weight(s)	1,350.0		3.92	5,292.0	
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0		0.55	0.067.0	
	890.8		2.55	2,207.0	
Key Weight	0.0		F 00	4 9 4 4 9	
ven. Component	951.7		5.09	4,044.3	
Total Vertical Loads	6,083.7	lbs			
Resist	ing Moment			19,642.4 ft	-#
Eccen	tricity			-5.6 in	

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed, or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Overturning

Overturning Moments

Overturning Moments	Force	Distance	Moment
Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	2,067.2 lbs	3.39 ft	7,005.6 ft-#
Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0		
Hydrostatic Force	0.0		
Buoyant Force	0.0		
Surcharge over Heel	0.0		
Adjacent Footing	0.0		
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0		
Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0		
Added Lateral Load	0.0		
Seismic Load	0.0		
Seismic-Self-weight	0.0		
Totals =	2,067.2 lbs		
	Overturning	Moment	7,005.6 ft-#

This Wall in File: Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31

_

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595

License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	1	Cantilevere	ed Retaining Wa	all	Code: IB	C 2018,A	CI 318-14	,TMS 402-16
Concrete Stem Rebar Area Detail	s							
Bottom Stem	Vertical F	Reinforcing	Horizontal Reinfo	orcing				
As (based on applied moment) :	0.3042 in	2/ft						
(4/3) * As :	0.4055 in	2/ft	Min Stem T&S R	einf Area 2	.592 in2			
200bd/fy : 200(12)(6)/60000 :	0.24 in2/1	ft	Min Stem T&S R	einf Area p	er ft of sten	n Height :	0.288 in2/ft	t
0.0018bh : 0.0018(12)(12) :	0.2592 in	2/ft	Horizontal Reinfo	orcing Optic	ns :			
		=====	One layer of :	Two laye	rs of :			
Required Area :	0.3042 in	2/ft	#4@ 8.33 in	#4@ 16.	67 in			
Provided Area :	0.31 in2/1	ft	#5@ 12.92 in	#5@ 25	.83 in			
Maximum Area :	0.8128 in	2/ft	#6@ 18.33 in	#6@36	.67 in			
Footing Data								
Toe Width	=	3.42 ft	f'c			=	2,500	psi
Heel Width	=	1.67	Fy			=	60,000	psi
Total Footing Width	=	5.09 ft	Footing Cor	ncrete Dens	sity	=	150.00	pcf
Footing Thickness	=	14.00 in	Min. As %			=	0.0018	
Key Width	=	0.00 in	Rebar Cove	er @T	ор	=	2.00	in
Key Depth	=	0.00 in		@ B	ottom	=	3.00	in
Key Distance from Toe	=	0.00 ft						

Footing Design Results

		<u>Toe</u>	Heel	
Factored Pressure	=	1,549	1,274	psf
Mu' : Upward	=	104,388	289	ft-#
Mu' : Downward	=	67,371	1,370	ft-#
Mu: Design	=	3,085	-1,054	ft-#
Actual 1-Way Shear	=	5.46	12.33	psi
Allow 1-Way Shear	=	75.00	75.00	psi
Toe Reinforcing	=	# 5 @ 12.30 in		
Heel Reinforcing	=	# 5 @ 12.30 in		
Key Reinforcing	=	None Spec'd		
Other Acceptable Sizes & Spacing	gs			
Toe: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in	, #6@ ⁻	17.46 in, #7@ 23.80 in	n, #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 39
Heel: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in	, #6@ ⁻	17.46 in, #7@ 23.80 in	n, #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 39
Key: No key defined				
Min footing T&S reinf Area	1.54	in2		
Min footing T&S reinf Area per fo	0.30) in2 /ft		
If one laver of horizontal bars:		If two lavers of horiz	ontal bars:	
#4@ 7.94 in		#4@ 15.87 in		
#5@ 12.30 in		#5@ 24.60 in		
#6@ 17.46 in		#6@ 34.92 in		
Footing Torsion, Tu	=	0.00 ft-lbs		
Footing Allow. Torsion, phi Tu	=	0.00 ft-lbs		

If torsion exceeds allowable, provide supplemental design for footing torsion.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-16
Tilt		
Horizontal Deflection at Top of Wa	Il due to settlement of soil	
(Deflection due to wall bending not considered)	
Soil Spring Reaction Modulus	250.0 pci	
Horizontal Defl @ Top of Wall (approximate of	nly) 0.054 in	
The above calculation is not valid if the heel se	bil bearing pressure exceeds that of the toe,	
because the wall would then tend to rotate into	the retained soil.	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31	
License : KW-06011595	
License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2018, ACI 318-14, TMS 402-16

Rebar Lap & Embedment Lengths Information

(Applying TMS 402 provisions) or (Applying IBC modifications to TMS 402 provisions)

Stem Design Segment: BottomStem Design Height:0.00 ft above top of footing		
Lap Splice length for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment = Development length for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment =	23.40 in 18.00 in	
Hooked embedment length into footing for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment = As Provided = As Required =	10.50 in 0.3100 in2/ft 0.3042 in2/ft	

This Wall in File:

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2018, ACI 318-14, TMS 402-16



This Wall in File:

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2018, ACI 318-14, TMS 402-16



Criterial Retained Height = 6.00 ft Wall height above soil = 0.00 ft Stope Berlind Wall = 0.00 ft Water height over heel = 0.01 ft Load Factors	o : archeng2330, KW-0601159	50 ZUTO,AUI 378-14, IMS 402
Stainad Height = 8.00 ft Wall height above soil = 0.00 ft Signe Bahind Wall = 0.00 Height Soil over Too = 48.00 in Water height over Heel = 0.00 ft Dead Exctors	a	
Wall height above soil = 0.00 ft Slope Behind Wall = 0.00 Height of Soil over Toe = 48.00 in Water height over theel = 0.0 tt Load Factors	d Height =	
Stope Behind Wall = 0.00 Height or Toe = 48.00 in Water height over heel = 0.01 ft Load Factors	ght above soil =	
Height over Toe = 48.00 in Water height over heel = 0.0 ft Load Factors Building Code 1BC 2018, ACI Dead Load 1.200 Live Lead Live Lead 1.600 Live Lead Seismic, E 1.000 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Soil Density, Heel = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing[Soil Friction = 0.0 Surcharge Loads = 200.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Heel = 12 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Axial Load Load = 0.0 m/ft	ehind Wall =	
Water height over heel = 0.0 ft Load Factors Building Code IBC 2018,ACI Dead Load 1.200 Live Load 1.200 Live Load 1.600 Wind, W 1.000 Soil Density, Heel = 1.22 Soil Density, W 1.000 Soil Density, Heel = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Soil Density, Toe = 1.22 Active Heel Pressure = 3.0.0.0 ps/ft Footing Soil Friction = 1.22 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0.0 ps/ Surcharge Over Toe = 1.22 Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Lateral Load Applied to Stem	of Soil over Toe =	
Load Factors Building Code IBC 2018,ACI Dead Load 1.200 Live Load 1.600 Earth, H 1.600 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Soil Density, Heel = Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Soil Density, Toe = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Footing[ISoil Friction = 0.2 Surcharge Loads = 200.0 pst Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 pst Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Varial Load Applied to Stem - Used for Stiding & Overturning Used for Stiding & Overturning - Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity =	eight over heel =	
Building Code IBC 2018,AC1 Dead Load 1.200 Live Load 1.600 Earth, H 1.600 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Soil Density, Heel = 122 Active Heal Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Footing [Soil Fiction = 0.0 Soil Density, Toe = 122 Soil height to ignore = 123 Active Heal Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Footing [Soil Fiction = 0.0 Surcharge Loads = 200.0 pst/ft Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Surcharge Over Heal = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used for Stiding & Overturning = Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = = -ateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = = -ateral Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 lbs - = . . -ateral Load =	Factors	
Deat Load 1.200 Live Load 1.600 Earth, H 1.600 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure 1000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure 122 Active Heal Pressure Method 35.0 ps/ft Soil Density, Heal 122 Active Heal Pressure = 35.0 ps/ft Footing Soil Friction = 0.0 Passive Pressure = 200.0 ps/ft Soil Density, Heal = 122 Active Heal Pressure = 35.0 ps/ft Footing Soil Friction = 0.0 Passive Pressure = 200.0 ps/ft for passive pressure = 12 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 ps/ Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 ps/ Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 ps/ Axial Load Eccentricity = - Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 ps/ - - - -<	ding Code	
Live Load 1 600 Earth, H 1600 Seismic, E 1000 Soli Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Miow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 122 Soil Density, Toe = 0.00 Soil Density, Toe = 0.00 Soil Density, Toe = 0.00 ft Height to Sottom = 0.00 ft Lateral Load = 0.0 psf (Service Level) Vind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load	ad Load	
Earth, H 1600 Wind, W 1.000 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 122 Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Proting Soil Friction = 0, Soil height to ignore = 200.0 psf/ft Surcharge Loads Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used To Resist Silding & Overturning Used for Silding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Stem = 0.0 lbs Axial Destorn = 0.00 ft Height to Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Agiacent Footing Load Footing Type Line Load	→ Load	
Wind, W 1.000 Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 122 Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Toe = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Footing Soil Friction = 0.0 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 122 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used for Sliding & Overturning = Axial Load Applied to Stem - - Used for Sliding & Overturning = - Axial Load Applied to Stem - - - - - Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = - - Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>th, H</td> <td></td>	th, H	
Seismic, E 1.000 Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure	ıd, W	
Soil Data and Lateral Earth Pressure Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 121 Equivalent Fluid Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Soil Density, Toe = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing[]Soil Friction = 0.0 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft for passive pressure = 11 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning = Axial Load Applied to Stem	smic, E	
Allow Soil Bearing = 2,000.0 psf Soil Density, Heel = 121 Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method Soil Density, Too = 122 Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Frottion = 0. Soil height to ignore for passive pressure = 11 Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Cover Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used for Stiding & Overturning Used for Stiding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity =	ata and Lateral Earth Pre	
Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method Soli Density, Toe = 12: Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 psf/ft Footing Soil Friction = 0, Soil height to ignore for passive pressure = 11: Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Cover Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity =	bil Bearing =	= 125.00 pcf
Active Heel Pressure = 35.0 pst/ft Footing[IS01 Fraction = 0. Soil height to ignore = for passive pressure = 12 Surcharge Loads = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = 12 Vised To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning 4xial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = .ateral Load Applied to Stem	ent Fluid Pressure Method	= 125.00 pcf
Soli height to ignore for passive pressure = 12 Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/tt for passive pressure = 12 Surcharge Loads	leel Pressure =	= 0.350
Passive Pressure = 200.0 psf/ft Surcharge Loads Surcharge Loads Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used for Sliding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ftHeight to Top = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Vind on Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Wind on Exposed Stem Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil	_	10.00 in
Surcharge Loads Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning Axial Load Applied to Stem - - - Axial Load Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load = 0.0 #ft - - Lateral Load = 0.0 #ft - - Lateral Load = 0.00 ft - - Lateral Load = 0.00 ft - - - Lateral Load = 0.00 ft - - - Lateral Load = 0.00 ft - - - - Vind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf - - - - - Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf - - - - - - - - - - - -	Pressure =	= 12.00 In
Surcharge Over Heel = 0.0 psf Surcharge Over Toe = Used To Resist Sliding & Overturning Used for Sliding & Overturning = Axial Load Applied to Stem = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft = = = Lateral Load = 0.0 ft = = = Lateral Load = 0.0 ft = = = Lateral Load = 0.00 ft =	arge Loads	
Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Atteral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Lateral Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Lateral Load = 0.00 ft Eccentricity = Load Type = 0.0 psf (Service Level) Eccentricity Vind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf Eccentricity Eccentricity Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Eccentricity Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Eccentricity	and Applied to Stom	
Axial Dead Load = 0.0 lbs Axial Load Eccentricity = Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs		
Axial Live Load = 0.0 lbs ateral Load Applied to Stem Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Height to Top = 0.00 ft Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil	ad Load =	= 0.0 in
Lateral Load = 0.0 #/ft Height to Top = 0.00 ft Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Line Load	L and Applied to Stom	
Laterial Load = 0.0 #/rt Height to Top = 0.00 ft Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Line Load		
Height to Pop = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) Adjacent Footing Load Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Base Above/Below Soil	to Top	
Height to Bottom = 0.00 ft Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil Line Load		
Load Type = Wind (W) (Service Level) Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil	it to Bottom =	
Wind on Exposed Stem = 0.0 psf (Service Level) Adjacent Footing Load - Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil -	уре =	
Wind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) = 0.0 psf Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil	on Exposed Stem	
Adjacent Footing Load = 0.0 lbs Footing Type Line Load Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil	on Exposed Stem = ervice Level)	
Adjacent Footing Load=0.0 lbsFooting TypeLine LoadFooting Width=0.00 ftBase Above/Below Soil	ent Footing Load	
Footing Width = 0.00 ft Base Above/Below Soil	nt Footing Load =	Line Load
-	Width =	
Eccentricity = 0.00 in at Back of Wall -	icitv –	= 0.0 ft
Wall to Eta CL Dist = 0.00 ff Poisson's Ratio - 0	Eta CL Dist -	= 0.300

Project Name/Number :

Page : 2 Date: 22 MAR 2021

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595		Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-1	
Wall Design Summary				
Stability Ratios				
Overturning	=	8.74 OK		
Sliding	=	3.55 OK		
Soil Bearing				
Total Bearing Load	=	7,558 lbs		
resultant ecc.	=	9.19 in		
Soil Pressure @ Toe	=	370 psf OK		
Soil Pressure @ Heel	=	1,204 psf OK		
Allowable Soil Pressure Less 1	= Than Allowable	2,000 psf		
ACI Factored @ Toe	=	518 psf		
ACI Factored @ Heel	=	1,685 psf		
Footing Shear @ Toe	=	3.9 psi OK		
Footing Shear @ Heel	=	7.0 psi OK		
Allowable	=	75.0 psi		

Sliding

Resisting Forces

Sliding Forces

Vertical Forces	Force	Lateral Forces	Force
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	1,003.3 lbs	Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	1,470.5 lbs
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0	Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0
Water Over Heel	0.0	Hydrostatic Force	0.0
Buoyant Force	0.0	* Heel Active Pressure	1,470.5
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0	Surcharge over Heel	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0	Adjacent Footing	0.0
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0	Surcharge Over Toe	0.0
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0	Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0
Axial Live Load on Stem *	Omit	Added Lateral Load	0.0
Soil Over Toe	3,500.0	Seismic Load	0.0
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0	Seismic-Self-weight	0.0
Stem Weight(s)	800.0	Lateral on Key	0.0
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0	Totals -	1 470 5 lbs
Footing Weight	1,517.3		1,470.0 100
Key Weight	0.0	*Includes water table effect	
Vert. Component **	737.6		
Total Vertical Loads	7,558.2 lbs		

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed , or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Sliding Calcs

Lateral Sliding Force	=		1,470.5 lbs
less 100% Passive Force	=	-	2,569.4 lbs
less 100% Friction Force	=	-	2,645.4 lbs
Added Force Req'd	=		0.0 lbs OK
for 1.5 Stability	=		0.0 lbs OK

Vertical component of active lateral soil pressure IS considered in the calculation of soil bearing pressures.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantileve	red R	etaining	g Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-16
Overturning					
Resisting Moments					
Resisting Moments	Force	Dist	ance	Moment	
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	1,003.3	lbs	8.17 ft	8,195.6ft-#	E
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0				
Water Table	0.0				
Soil Over Heel	1,003.3		8.17	8,195.6	
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0				
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0				
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0				
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0				
Axial Live Load on Stem *	0.0				
Soil Over Toe	3,500.0		3.50	12,250.0	
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0				
Stem Weight(s)	800.0		7.33	5,866.7	
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0		4.24	6 577 0	
	1,517.3		4.34	0,077.3	
Key Weight	0.0		0.67	C 205 1	
ven. Component	/3/.0		0.07	0,395.1	
Total Vertical Loads	7,558.2	lbs	_		
Resist	ing Moment			39,284.6 ft	-#
Eccent	tricity			3.2 in	

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed, or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Overturning

Overturning Moments

Overturning Moments	Force	Distance	Moment
Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	1,470.5 lbs	3.06 ft	4,493.2 ft-#
Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0		
Hydrostatic Force	0.0		
Buoyant Force	0.0		
Surcharge over Heel	0.0		
Adjacent Footing	0.0		
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0		
Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0		
Added Lateral Load	0.0		
Seismic Load	0.0		
Seismic-Self-weight	0.0		
Totals =	1,470.5 lbs		
	Overturning	Moment	4,493.2 ft-#

58

nse : KW-06011595 ense To : archeng2330, KW-0601	1595	Cantilevered Retaining W	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402
em Design Summary			
		Bottom	
	_	Stem OK	
Design Height Above Ftg	ft =	0.00	
Wall Material Above "Ht"	=	Concrete	
Design Method	=	LRFD	
Thickness	=	8.00	
Rebar Size	=	# 5	
Rebar Spacing	=	12.00	
Rebar Placed at	=	Center	
Design Data			
fb/FB + fa/Fa	=	0.942	
Total Force @ Section			
Service Level	lbs =		
Strength Level	lbs =	1,792.0	
MomentActual			
Service Level	ft-# =		
Strength Leve	ft-# =	4,778.7	
MomentAllowable	=	5,069.7	
ShearActual			
Service Level	psi =		
Strength Leve	psi =	37.3	
ShearAllowable	psi =	75.0	
Anet	in2 =		
Rebar Depth 'd'	in =	4.00	
Masonry Data			
f'm	psi =		
Fs	psi =		
Solid Grouting	=		
Modular Ratio 'n'	=		
Wall Weight	psf =	100.0	
Short Term Factor	=		
Equiv. Solid Thick.	=		
Masonry Block Type	=	Medium Weight	
Masonry Design Method	=	ASD	
Concrete Data			
f'c	psi =	2,500.0	
гу	psi =	60,000.0	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595 **Cantilevered Retaining Wall** Code: IBC 2018, ACI 318-14, TMS 402-16 Concrete Stem Rebar Area Details Bottom Stem Vertical Reinforcing Horizontal Reinforcing As (based on applied moment) : 0.2885 in2/ft (4/3) * As : 0.3846 in2/ft Min Stem T&S Reinf Area 1.536 in2 200bd/fy: 200(12)(4)/60000: 0.16 in2/ft Min Stem T&S Reinf Area per ft of stem Height : 0.192 in2/ft 0.0018bh: 0.0018(12)(8): 0.1728 in2/ft Horizontal Reinforcing Options : One layer of : Two layers of : ===== Required Area : 0.2885 in2/ft #4@ 12.50 in #4@ 25.00 in Provided Area : 0.31 in2/ft #5@ 19.38 in #5@ 38.75 in Maximum Area : 0.5419 in2/ft #6@ 27.50 in #6@ 55.00 in Footing Data Toe Width 7.00 ft f'c 2,500 psi = = Heel Width 1.67 Fy 60,000 psi = = **Total Footing Width** = 8.67 ft Footing Concrete Density 150.00 pcf = **Footing Thickness** 14.00 in Min. As % 0.0018 = = Rebar Cover @ Top 2.00 in = 0.00 in Key Width = @ Bottom 3.00 in Key Depth 0.00 in = = 0.00 ft Key Distance from Toe =

Footing Design Results

		Toe	Heel	
Factored Pressure	=	518	1,685	psf
Mu' : Upward	=	244,548	1,097	ft-#
Mu' : Downward	=	238,140	1,894	ft-#
Mu: Design	=	534	-1,339	ft-#
Actual 1-Way Shear	=	3.89	7.04	psi
Allow 1-Way Shear	=	75.00	75.00	psi
Toe Reinforcing	=	# 7 @ 16.00 in		
Heel Reinforcing	=	# 6 @ 16.00 in		
Key Reinforcing	=	None Spec'd		
Other Acceptable Sizes & Spacing	IS			
Toe: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in,	#6@ 1	17.46 in, #7@ 23.	80 in, #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 39
Heel: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in,	#6@ 1	17.46 in, #7@ 23.	80 in, #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 39
Key: No key defined				
Min footing T&S reinf Area	2.62	in2		
Min footing T&S reinf Area per fo	0.30	in2 /ft		
	0.00			
If one layer of horizontal bars:	I	f two layers of h	norizontal bars:	
#4@ 7.94 in		#4@ 15.87 in		
#5@ 12.30 in		#5@ 24.60 in		
#6@ 17.46 in		#6@ 34.92 in		
Footing Torsion, Tu	=	0.00 f	t-lbs	
Footing Allow. Torsion, phi Tu	=	0.00 f	t-lbs	

If torsion exceeds allowable, provide supplemental design for footing torsion.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-16
Tilt		
Horizontal Deflection at Top of Wall d	ue to settlement of soil	
(Deflection due to wall bending not considered)		
Soil Spring Reaction Modulus	250.0 pci	
Horizontal Defl @ Top of Wall (approximate only)	0.070 in	
The above calculation is not valid if the heel soil b	earing pressure exceeds that of the toe,	
because the wall would then tend to rotate into the	e retained soil.	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2018, ACI 318-14, TMS 402-16

Rebar Lap & Embedment Lengths Information

(Applying TMS 402 provisions) or (Applying IBC modifications to TMS 402 provisions)

Stem Design Segment: Bottom Stem Design Height: 0.00 ft above top of footing		
Lap Splice length for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment = Development length for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment =	23.40 in 18.00 in	
Hooked embedment length into footing for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment = As Provided = As Required =	10.50 in 0.3100 in2/ft 0.2885 in2/ft	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2018,ACI 318-14,TMS 402-16
---	-----------------------------	--------------------------------------



Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2018, ACI 318-14, TMS 402-16



cense : KW-06011595 cense To : archeng2330, KW-060)11595				
Criteria					
Retained Height	=	7.00 ft			
Wall height above soil	=	0.00 ft			
Slope Behind Wall	=	0.00			
Height of Soil over Toe	=	24.00 in			
Water height over heel	=	0.0 ft			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Load Factors					
Building Code	IB	C 2012.ACI			
Dead Load		1.200			
Live Load		1.600			
Earth. H		1.600			
Wind W		1 000			
Seismic. E		1.000			
Soil Data and Lateral Earth	Pressu	Ire			
Allow Soil Bearing	_	2 000 0 psf	Soil Density Heel		125 00 PCf
Equivalent Fluid Pressure Method	-	2,000.0 poi	Soil Density Top	-	125.00 not
	_	35.0 pef/ft	Footing Soil Friction	-	0 350
Active freel i fessure	-	55.0 psi/it	Soil height to ignore	-	0.000
	=		for passive pressu	re =	12.00 in
Passive Pressure	=	200.0 psf/ft			
Surcharge Loads					
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu	= urning	0.0 psf	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding	= 8 Overturning	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu	= urning	0.0 psf	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding	= I & Overturning	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem	= urning 1	0.0 psf	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding	= I & Overturning	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load	= urning 1 =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load	= urning 1 = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= I & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load	= urning 1 = em	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Applied to Ste	= urning 1 = = em	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Applied to Ste Lateral Load	= urning 1 = = em = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 gt	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Height to Top Height to Bottom	= urning = = em = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft 0.00 ft	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Height to Top Height to Bottom Load Type	= urning = = em = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.00 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W)	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load 	= urning = = em = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 #/ft 0.00 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level)	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ı & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Height to Top Height to Top Height to Bottom Load Type Vind on Exposed Stem	= urning = = em = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level)	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= t & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Height to Top Height to Bottom Load Type Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level)	= urning = = em = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level) 0.0 psf	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= i & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load 	= urning = = em = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level) 0.0 psf	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= ity =	0.0 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Height to Top Height to Bottom Load Type Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) Adjacent Footing Load	= urning 1 = = em = = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level) 0.0 psf 0.0 lbs	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= t & Overturning ity =	0.0 0.0 in 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Applied to Ste Lateral Load Height to Top Height to Bottom Load Type Vind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) Adjacent Footing Load Footing Width	= urning 1 = = em = = = = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level) 0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.00 ft	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= i & Overturning ity = Soil	0.0 0.0 in 0.0 in
Surcharge Over Heel Used To Resist Sliding & Overtu Axial Load Applied to Stem Axial Dead Load Axial Live Load Axial Live Load Lateral Load Applied to Ste Lateral Load Height to Top Height to Bottom Load Type Mind on Exposed Stem (Service Level) Adjacent Footing Load Footing Width Eccentricity	= urning n = = em = = = = = = =	0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 lbs 0.0 ft 0.00 ft Wind (W) (Service Level) 0.0 psf 0.0 lbs 0.00 ft 0.00 ft 0.0 lbs 0.00 in	Surcharge Over Toe Used for Sliding Axial Load Eccentric	= i & Overturning ity = Soil II = =	0.0 0.0 in 0.0 in Line Load

Project Name/Number :

Page : 2 Date: 22 MAR 2021

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build	11.20.03.31	Contilovered Detaining Well	
License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595		Cantilevered Retaining wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Wall Design Summary			
Stability Ratios			
Overturning	=	4.13 OK	
Sliding	=	2.21 OK	
Soil Bearing			
Total Bearing Load	=	4,802 lbs	
resultant ecc.	=	1.26 in	
Soil Pressure @ Toe	=	805 psf OK	
Soil Pressure @ Heel	=	1,069 psf_OK	
Allowable Soil Pressure Less T	= Than Allowable	2,000 psf	
ACI Factored @ Toe	=	1,128 psf	
ACI Factored @ Heel	=	1,496 psf	
Footing Shear @ Toe	=	0.5 psi OK	
Footing Shear @ Heel	=	4.3 psi OK	
Allowable	=	75.0 psi	

Sliding

Resisting Forces

Sliding Forces

Vertical Forces	Force	Lateral Forces	Force
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	2,479.2 lbs	Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	1,167.2 lbs
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0	Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0
Water Over Heel	0.0	Hydrostatic Force	0.0
Buoyant Force	0.0	* Heel Active Pressure	1,167.2
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0	Surcharge over Heel	0.0
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0	Adjacent Footing	0.0
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0	Surcharge Over Toe	0.0
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0	Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0
Axial Live Load on Stem *	Omit	Added Lateral Load	0.0
Soil Over Toe	250.0	Seismic Load	0.0
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0	Seismic-Self-weight	0.0
Stem Weight(s)	700.0	Lateral on Key	0.0
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0	Totals -	1 167 2 lbs
Footing Weight	787.5		1,101.2 100
Key Weight	0.0	*Includes water table effect	
Vert. Component **	585.5		
Total Vertical Loads	4,802.1 lbs		

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed , or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Sliding Calcs

Lateral Sliding Force	=		1,167.2 lbs
less 100% Passive Force	=	-	902.8 lbs
less 100% Friction Force	=	-	1,680.7 lbs
Added Force Req'd	=		0.0 lbs OK
for 1.5 Stability	=		0.0 lbs OK

Vertical component of active lateral soil pressure IS considered in the calculation of soil bearing pressures.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantileve	ered I	Retaining	g Wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Overturning					
Resisting Moments					
Resisting Moments	<u>Force</u>	Dis	stance	<u>Moment</u>	
Soil Over Heel (above water table, if any)	2,479.2	lbs	3.08 ft	7,644.1ft-#	
Soil Over Heel (below water table, if any)	0.0				
Water Table	0.0				
Soil Over Heel	2,479.2		3.08	7,644.1	
Sloped Soil Over Heel	0.0				
Surcharge Over Heel	0.0				
Adjacent Footing Load	0.0				
Axial Dead Load on Stem	0.0				
Axial Live Load on Stem *	0.0				
Soil Over Toe	250.0		0.50	125.0	
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0				
Stem Weight(s)	700.0		1.33	933.3	
Earth @ Stem Transitions	0.0				
Footing Weight	787.5		2.25	1,771.9	
Key Weight	0.0				
Vert. Component	585.5		4.50	2,634.6	
Total Vertical Loads	4,802.1	lbs			
Resist	ing Moment			13,108.9 ft-	#
Eccen	tricity			-2.2 in	

* Axial live load NOT included in total displayed, or used for overturning or sliding resistance, but is included for soil pressure calculations.

Overturning

Overturning Moments

Overturning Moments	Force	Distance	<u>Moment</u>
Heel Active Pressure (above water table, if any)	1,167.2 lbs	2.72 ft	3,177.2 ft-#
Heel Active Pressure (below water table, if any)	0.0		
Hydrostatic Force	0.0		
Buoyant Force	0.0		
Surcharge over Heel	0.0		
Adjacent Footing	0.0		
Surcharge Over Toe	0.0		
Load @ Stem Above Soil	0.0		
Added Lateral Load	0.0		
Seismic Load	0.0		
Seismic-Self-weight	0.0		
Totals =	1,167.2 lbs		
	Overturning	Moment	3,177.2 ft-#

nse To : archeng2330, KW-06011	595			· · ·
em Design Summary				
	-	Bottom		
		Stem OK		
Design Height Above Ftg	ft =	0.00		
Wall Material Above "Ht"	=	Concrete		
Design Method	=	LRFD		
Thickness	=	8.00		
Rebar Size	=	# 5		
Rebar Spacing	=	12.00		
Rebar Placed at	=	Center		
Design Data				
fb/FB + fa/Fa	=	0.631		
Total Force @ Section Service Level	lbs =			
Strength Level	lbs =	1,372.0		
MomentActual Service Level	ft-# =			
Strength Leve	ft-# =	3,201.3		
MomentAllowable	=	5,069.7		
ShearActual				
Service Level	psi =			
Strength Leve	psi =	28.6		
ShearAllowable	psi =	75.0		
Anet	in2 =			
Rebar Depth 'd'	in =	4.00		
Masonry Data				
f'm	psi =			
Fs	psi =			
Solid Grouting	=			
Modular Ratio 'n'	=			
Wall Weight	psf =	100.0		
Short Term Factor	=			
Equiv. Solid Thick.	=			
Masonry Block Type	=	Medium Weigh	t	
Masonry Design Method	=	ASD		
Concrete Data		2 500 0		
	psi =	2,500.0		

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	31 ;	Cantilever	ed Retaining Wa	l l Co	ode: IBC 2012	ACI 318-11	ACI 530-11,
Concrete Stem Rebar Area Detai	ls						
Bottom Stem	Vertical	Reinforcing	Horizontal Reinfo	rcing			
As (based on applied moment) :	0.1933 iı	n2/ft					
(4/3) * As :	0.2577 iı	n2/ft	Min Stem T&S Re	einf Area 1.344	in2		
200bd/fy : 200(12)(4)/60000 :	0.16 in2/	′ft	Min Stem T&S Re	einf Area per ft	of stem Height	: 0.192 in2/ft	
0.0018bh : 0.0018(12)(8) :	0.1728 iı	n2/ft	Horizontal Reinfo	rcing Options :			
	======		One layer of :	Two layers of	:		
Required Area :	0.1933 iı	n2/ft	#4@ 12.50 in	#4@ 25.00 i	n		
Provided Area :	0.31 in2/	′ft	#5@ 19.38 in	#5@ 38.75 i	n		
Maximum Area :	0.5419 iı	n2/ft	#6@ 27.50 in	#6@ 55.00 i	n		
Footing Data							
Toe Width	=	1.00 ft	f'c		=	2,500 p	si
Heel Width	=	3.50	Fy		=	60,000 p	si
Total Footing Width	=	4.50 ft	Footing Con	crete Density	=	150.00 p	cf
Footing Thickness	=	14.00 in	Min. As %		=	0.0018	
Kev Width	=	0.00 in	Rebar Cover	r @ Top	=	2.00 ir	า
Key Depth	=	0.00 in		@ Botto	m =	3.00 ir	า
Key Distance from Toe	=	0.00 ft					

Footing Design Results

		Toe	Heel	
Factored Pressure	=	1,128	1,496	psf
Mu' : Upward	=	6,930	5,293	ft-#
Mu' : Downward	=	3,060	7,712	ft-#
Mu: Design	=	322	-2,446	ft-#
Actual 1-Way Shear	=	0.52	4.32	psi
Allow 1-Way Shear	=	75.00	75.00	psi
Toe Reinforcing	=	# 5 @ 12.30 in		
Heel Reinforcing	=	# 8 @ 31.34 in		
Key Reinforcing	=	None Spec'd		
Toe: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in Heel: #4@ 7.93 in, #5@ 12.30 in Key: No key defined	, #6@ * , #6@ *	17.46 in, #7@ 23.80 in, 17.46 in, #7@ 23.80 in,	, #8@ 31.34 in, , #8@ 31.34 in,	#9@ 39 #9@ 39
Min footing T&S reinf Area	1.36	3 in2		
Min footing T&S reinf Area per fo	0.30) in2 /ft		
If one layer of horizontal bars:		If two layers of horizo	ontal bars:	
#4@ 7.94 IN		#4@ 15.87 in		
#5@ 12.30 IN #6@ 17.46 in		#5@ 24.60 IN #6@ 34 92 in		
		πu w 04.32 III		
Footing Torsion, Tu	=	0.00 ft-lbs		
FOOLING Allow. TOISION, Phi TU	=	0.00 ft-lbs		

If torsion exceeds allowable, provide supplemental design for footing torsion.

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	Cantilevered	Retaining Wall	Code: IBC 2012,ACI 318-11,ACI 530-11
Tilt			
Horizontal Deflection at Top of Wall d	lue to settlem	ent of soil	
(Deflection due to wall bending not considered)			
Soil Spring Reaction Modulus	250.0	рсі	
Horizontal Defl @ Top of Wall (approximate only)	0.070	in	
The above calculation is not valid if the heel soil b	earing pressure	exceeds that of the toe,	
because the wall would then tend to rotate into the	e retained soil.		

This Wall in File:

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31	
License : KW-06011595	
License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595	

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2012, ACI 318-11, ACI 530-11

Rebar Lap & Embedment Lengths Information

(Applying TMS 402 provisions) or (Applying IBC modifications to TMS 402 provisions)

Stem Design Segment: Bottom Stem Design Height: 0.00 ft above top of footing		
Lap Splice length for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment = Development length for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment =	23.40 in 18.00 in	
Hooked embedment length into footing for #5 bar specified in this stem design segment = As Provided = As Required =	10.50 in 0.3100 in2/ft 0.1933 in2/ft	

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2012, ACI 318-11, ACI 530-11



This Wall in File:

Enercalc EARTH (c) 1987-2019, Build 11.20.03.31 License : KW-06011595 License To : archeng2330, KW-06011595

Cantilevered Retaining Wall

Code: IBC 2012, ACI 318-11, ACI 530-11


- 24	
Ŧ	
2	L
ž	
	k
X	ķ
õ	ľ

oments NSCE 7-16

<mark>C (edder</mark> 2131-1816: Constant Eddinae AUSC/AUS Suthmath Eddinae AUSC/2194-16 VISE 2012: Automaticae Secondary Secondary SEAW Reput Solutions Victorouology Sar Ward Dedga

A contract of the second secon DESIGN LOADS Mind Dation Mind Dation Survas Procisiones alaw Ruyo Bouritova Nethodol, oo'r fer who Deside Survano Artsowr 1 Survano Artsowr 1 Estrounes - Xartsor Kur + 13 Torodauwer (Artter Kur + 13

Sejanik: Qebigg Analysis procedure: Bebgegunalient lateral force procedure:

MATERIAL SPECIFICATIONS.

Prefabricated Floor Trasses/Floor Juists

(II. TRULING) - Manufacture and a second control and controls and controls are associated in control controls and productions and approximation and controls and productions and another the spectral productions. The additional productions of the associations: a second and another the control and the spectral objection is production and another the associations: - Proved in the plan stated in two physics. - Proved in the plan stated in two physics are in the restored of the plan stated in two physics. - Proved in the plan stated in two physics are in the - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plan stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plane stated in the physics are interplane. - Proved in the plane stated in the physics are interplane and the physics are interplane. - Proved in the physics are interplane and the physics are interplane. - Proved in the physics are interplane and the physics are interplane and the physics are interplane. - Proved in the physics are interplane and the physics areal and the physics are interplane and the physics are interp

ALL CHARLE SOL REVENDO PRESENTE - 2300 part ACTTE GAL REVENDO PRESENTE CON TELLONG WALLS - 33 part CATTE GAL REVENDO PRESENTE CONTROL - 1200 WALLS - 33 part CATTE GAL REVENDO PRESENTE - 330 part BATTE GAL REVENDO - 40 BATTE - 130 part - 130 part

Selemic Considerations SITE CLASS O OCCUPANCY CATAGORY = 1 IMPORTANCE FACTOR = 1

1.28.9

SÉE SOLS REPORT BY GEOTECH CONSULTANTS, INC.

Geotechnical Parameters

Fisser Loads (See isseling table above)

Wood (Notes) Occurrent and alternations of the 1 a dark of the formation of 16° OCC (notions and alternation) Corrections and alternations (corrections and alternation) Corrections and alternation of the 1 a dark of 1 a dark (corrections and alternation) Corrections and alternation of the corrections with 71 bits Corrections and alternation of the corrections with 71 bits (corrections) Corrections and alternation of the corrections with 71 bits (correction) Corrections and corrections and corrections with 71 bits (correction) Corrections and corrections and corrections

Provide all subsidiar core of an appendix provide and an appendix provide and appendix provide and appendix provide and a provide and appendix provide and appendix provide and appendix provide appendix pro

BEBMIC RESISTING SYSTEM, NORTH-BOUTH: WOOD PANEL SHEAR WALLS, R-4.5 BEISMIC RESISTING SYSTEM, EAST-WEST: WOOD PANEL SHEAR WALLS, R-4.6

Fr. = 1, 2 Env. Fr. Sa = 111, 28 = 1, 28 San Fr. Sa = 111, 18 = 1, 28 San San, 67 = 1, 201, 67 = .80 San San, 67 = 1, 701, 67 = .80

A rest because, besiders, peans, listery, and gethere alkels are q¹. Ye and geth a static brack the static frame and gether alkels and the static stati

atteness. Stageness Streng Te connections are specifically required to more Stageness Streng Te proceedings on the panel. Before a sublicing another and the strength panel on rithishe problemed vering and restrictions. The Engineer of Record Another vering and proposal for sublitational pricer to association.

Shear Wall Notes - Foundation

SHEAR WALL NOTES . WALLS

Noo Shear Well Schoolshi far ahuar well notes, achedahes, nooleer bolt placeases and pressary örysted ell sizes. (\clubsuit) ladicates 'Simpsea' belows bestone on manifestury's lastsflation requirements. Foldows aschert are to be installed at the ead of plywood shear parets.

AB shear wall paseds ather then P1-4" are to be justalled with pressure treated 3X ails.

All heldows: 4X or 6X posts to be Doug fir #2 or better.

tuchor beitz is be minimum 153"d. X 18" & 45" a.s. (unions soited of) a Archor Boit Shesar Waß Schodule, Sheet 25-1).

Cancrate atruagish (°c = 3000 pal for concrete especed to the Akenoni Concrete intregish (°c = 2500 pal for concrete set especed to elemen

Notity segiases af any revision to about wall or holdown plan or Build modifications due to us foresom conditions before proceeding with construction. PX-* MOR.VTS SHOAP MAL. SET. 16260 MAL.VTS SHOAP MALE SHOAP MALE RELTA MALE SHOAP PARAMETER RELTA RELTA RELTAR MALE SHOAP PARAMETER SHOAP PARAMETER SHOAP PARAMETER RELTA RELTAR RELTA

SOLOR BLOCK BELOV SHEAR WALLS ABOVE → MOUCATES SHEAR WALL: TE CODANG FTA.P BET VAERA THE SHEAR WALL ABOVE AND THE FRAMME ABOVE ON FILE BELOW

acreate depth at foundation at bolidows anchor belts to beaure proper escrete coverage.

itapasa Storeg Te connector are specifically required to anot the timetrum actention of the pice. Network and a society restor and act specific acted on relation packing data of extentionses. The Regiment and on enhance and give approval for solutionistic pice to includition.

<u>Rejolonnes</u> Destructions to by Suppose Company or squal. Any constitutions in barchenese associations: must be sported by the Taginers of Record prior to heathetics.

The result of NGMS
A substrate of the second procession of the second

Classification of the second secon

Censury Surger

neerste geurvei agaiest eerth Conneed enerste with earch back/fil Bernach enerste with argumed to weecher, states en a modutur barrier weith, eerstide fore

- Provide 4" disaster parforated FVC drain in granular fill at th base of all new exterior factings (scieting and new).

Mill Adding Adding by a conference or the ACL3 (19 Mr. Schedul and Mills Adding Add by a conference or the ACL3 (19 Mr. Schedul and a conference of the Access of the Act and Adding Add

ith an grade 2000 . 65 . 58 5 5

> Veter reducting minimum may be harmpened also the minimum state and an analytic meter ways a second se

iencral Conditions

shitions:

STEEL DESIGN FARACUTION NUL PRECTION SIVEL DOPORTO O THE RECIDENTINS OF DAMPTER 25 TO THE STOTE BOC USSITIVITION OF MEMBER SEES OF STEEL GAUGE WILL NOT E SLI OWED WITHOUT PRICE APPROVAL OF THE ENDANCER OF RECENT

Crementor off and the burgeture prior to packet any burden prior to packet and the strength and

ECORD, INCLUE SALVE RESEARCE FOR ALLERETOR RECORD FOR ADDRESS AND RESEARCE AND RESEARCH DATE OF ADDRESS AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND DATE OF ADDRESS AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH DATE OF ADDRESS AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH AND RESEARCH RESEARCH AND RESEARCH ANN RESEARCH AN

BEAM CAMBER NOTED CN DRAWINGS 15 THE UPMARD CAMBER REQUIRED IN THE BEAM AS DELIVERED TO THE COB STE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONSIDER CAMBER LOSS TAUE TO SHEPMIS AND HANDLANG.

Rear Daval J.cond = (15 gaf) Live 1.and = (25 gaf) Bottson chord Daval 1.and = 7 gaf Tay Chord upikh = 7 paf

SHEAR WALL SCHEDULE 2015 IBC Well Sheething to be 1/2" (C-P) Structural 1, 240 Bood Sheething to be 1/2" (C-D) Structural 1, 32/16 Un the common auto

WALL RAIL ZATELADILSTACTAG BLATS BEQUERTANCHORS ALLOWABLE TITS Son From Prior Tophin 1734 Bran UNITADI Edges Soch Prior 6666 2223

1111

This work was prepared by me or under my supervision

Sheet Contents:

Petrie Pool Terrace 2431 60th Avenue SE Mercer Island, Washington

Architect / P.E. Stephen Tapp

S-1.0









